

Technical Report

TR-2007-017

Splittings of Symmetric Matrices and a Question of Ortega

by

Michele Benzi

MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE

EMORY UNIVERSITY

SPLITTINGS OF SYMMETRIC MATRICES AND A QUESTION OF ORTEGA

MICHELE BENZI*

Abstract. We give a complete answer to a problem posed in 1988 by J. M. Ortega concerning convergent splittings of symmetric matrices.

Key words. Matrix splittings, positive definite, positive real, positive stable, Lyapunov's Theorem.

AMS subject classifications. Primary 65F10. Secondary 15A.

1. The question. For a given matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, a splitting $A = P - Q$ with P nonsingular is said to be *P-regular* if $P + Q$ is positive real, i.e., the symmetric part of $P + Q$ is positive definite. This condition is equivalent to requiring that $x^T(P + Q)x > 0$ for all nonzero $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

It is a well-known result (see, e.g., [4, p. 254]) that if A is symmetric positive definite and $A = P - Q$ is a P-regular splitting, then the splitting is convergent: that is, $\rho(P^{-1}Q) < 1$, where $\rho(\cdot)$ denotes the spectral radius. This is often referred to as the *P-regular splitting theorem*.

In his book [4, pp. 255–256], Ortega stated and proved the following two converses to the P-regular splitting theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. *Assume that A is symmetric and nonsingular, $A = P - Q$ is a P-regular splitting, and $\rho(P^{-1}Q) < 1$. Then A is positive definite.*

THEOREM 1.2. *Assume that $A = P - Q$ is symmetric and nonsingular, P is symmetric positive definite, and $\rho(P^{-1}Q) < 1$. Then A and $P + Q$ are positive definite.*

It is worth noting that in either theorem it is not necessary to require that A be nonsingular, since this immediately follows from the assumption that $\rho(P^{-1}Q) < 1$. Also note that in Theorem 1.2, the matrix $P + Q$ is symmetric. (A word of caution on terminology: Ortega uses the phrase *positive definite matrix* for what is called here a positive real matrix. The two notions coincide in the symmetric case.)

After proving these results, Ortega [4, p. 256] states: “It is an open question as to whether [Theorem 1.2] holds without the assumption that P is symmetric.” In other words, the question is whether $P + Q$ must be positive real and A positive definite if A is symmetric, P positive real, and $\rho(P^{-1}Q) < 1$.

To the best of our knowledge, no complete answer to this question has been published so far. In the following section, such a complete answer is provided.

2. The solution. It turns out that if P is nonsymmetric, then $P + Q$ need not be positive real; however, A is necessarily positive definite. A simple counterexample suffices to show that $P + Q$ may fail to be positive real. Let $A = I_2$ (the two-by-two identity matrix) and let

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3/2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q = P - A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3/2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia 30322, USA (benzi@mathcs.emory.edu). The work of this author was supported by the National Science Foundation grant DMS-0511336.

Then P is positive real and $\rho(P^{-1}Q) = 0 < 1$. However,

$$P + Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the symmetric part of this matrix is indefinite, $P + Q$ is not positive real. It should be noted that a similar counterexample has been used in [2] to point out the incorrectness of a result stated in [5]. The author of [2], however, did not mention that his example also answered part of Ortega's question.

To establish that A must indeed be positive definite, some preliminaries are needed. Recall that a square matrix is *positive stable* if all its eigenvalues have positive real part; note that every positive real matrix is positive stable, but not vice-versa. An important characterization of positive stable matrices is given by Lyapunov's Theorem. Here we shall use the following version of Lyapunov's Theorem (see [3, pp. 98–99]):

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be given. Then M is positive stable if and only if there is a symmetric positive definite matrix G satisfying the equation*

$$GM + M^T G = I. \quad (2.1)$$

If M is positive stable, there is precisely one solution G to this equation, and G is symmetric positive definite.

We will further use the following simple result.

LEMMA 2.2. *If A is symmetric positive definite and B is positive real, the product AB is positive stable.*

Proof. Since A is symmetric positive definite, it has a symmetric positive definite square root $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Now let $B = H + S$ with H symmetric positive definite and S skew-symmetric. Then AB is similar to

$$A^{-\frac{1}{2}}(AB)A^{\frac{1}{2}} = A^{\frac{1}{2}}BA^{\frac{1}{2}} = A^{\frac{1}{2}}HA^{\frac{1}{2}} + A^{\frac{1}{2}}SA^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since $A^{\frac{1}{2}}HA^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is symmetric positive definite and $A^{\frac{1}{2}}SA^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is skew-symmetric, AB is similar to the positive real matrix $A^{\frac{1}{2}}BA^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Hence, AB is positive stable. \square

Finally, we note that if P is positive real, so is P^{-1} ; see, e.g. [1, Lemma 2.1]. We are now in a position to establish the following result.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let A be symmetric, P positive real, and let $A = P - Q$ be a convergent splitting. Then A is positive definite.*

Proof. Letting $T = P^{-1}Q$, we have that the matrix $P^{-1}A = I - T$ is positive stable, since $\rho(T) < 1$. From Lyapunov's Theorem it follows that there exists a symmetric positive definite G such that

$$G(P^{-1}A) + (P^{-1}A)^T G = I,$$

or, equivalently,

$$A(GP^{-1})^T + (GP^{-1})A = I. \quad (2.2)$$

Now, GP^{-1} is the product of a symmetric positive definite and a positive real matrix; hence, by Lemma 2.2, it is positive stable. But (2.2) shows that A solves equation (2.1) with $M = GP^{-1}$, therefore by Theorem 2.1 it must be positive definite. \square

This result gives a complete answer to the question posed by Ortega.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. H. BRAMBLE, J. E. PASCIAK, AND A. T. VASSILEV, *Uzawa type algorithms for nonsymmetric saddle point problems*, Math. Comp., 69 (1999), pp. 667–689.
- [2] Z.-H. CAO, *A note on P -regular splitting of Hermitian matrix*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 21 (2000), pp. 1392–1393.
- [3] R. A. HORN AND C. R. JOHNSON, *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [4] J. M. ORTEGA, *Introduction to Parallel and Vector Solution of Linear Systems*, Plenum Press, NY, 1988.
- [5] F. B. WEISSLER, *Some remarks concerning iterative methods for linear systems*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 16 (1995), pp. 448–461.