

MOONSHINE FOR M_{24} AND DONALDSON INVARIANTS OF \mathbb{CP}^2

ANDREAS MALMENDIER AND KEN ONO

ABSTRACT. Eguchi, Ooguri, and Tachikawa recently conjectured [9] a new *moonshine* phenomenon. They conjecture that the coefficients of a certain mock modular form $H(\tau)$, which arises from the $K3$ surface elliptic genus, are sums of dimensions of irreducible representations of the Mathieu group M_{24} . We prove that $H(\tau)$ surprisingly also plays a significant role in the theory of Donaldson invariants. We prove that the Moore-Witten [15] u -plane integrals for $H(\tau)$ are the $SO(3)$ -Donaldson invariants of \mathbb{CP}^2 . This result then implies a moonshine phenomenon where these invariants conjecturally are expressions in the dimensions of the irreducible representations of M_{24} . Indeed, we obtain an explicit expression for the Donaldson invariant generating function $Z(p, S)$ in terms of the derivatives of $H(\tau)$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

This paper concerns the deep properties of the modular forms and mock modular forms which arise from a study of the $K3$ surface elliptic genus. To define these objects, we require Dedekind's eta-function $\eta(\tau) := q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)$ (note. $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ throughout and $q := e^{2\pi i\tau}$), and the classical Jacobi theta function

$$\vartheta_{ab}(v|\tau) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{(2n+a)^2}{8}} e^{\pi i (2n+a)(v+\frac{b}{2})},$$

where $a, b \in \{0, 1\}$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}$. We recall some standard identities.

$\vartheta_1(v \tau) = \vartheta_{11}(v \tau)$	$\vartheta_1(0 \tau) = 0$	$\vartheta_1'(0 \tau) = -2\pi\eta^3(\tau)$
$\vartheta_2(v \tau) = \vartheta_{10}(v \tau)$	$\vartheta_2(0 \tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{(2n+1)^2}{8}}$	$\vartheta_2'(0 \tau) = 0$
$\vartheta_3(v \tau) = \vartheta_{00}(v \tau)$	$\vartheta_3(0 \tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{n^2}{2}}$	$\vartheta_3'(0 \tau) = 0$
$\vartheta_4(v \tau) = \vartheta_{01}(v \tau)$	$\vartheta_4(0 \tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n q^{\frac{n^2}{2}}$	$\vartheta_4'(0 \tau) = 0$

Moreover, for convenience we let $\vartheta_j(\tau) := \vartheta_j(0|\tau)$ for $j = 2, 3, 4$.

The $K3$ surface elliptic genus [7] is given by

$$Z(z|\tau) = 8 \left[\left(\frac{\vartheta_2(z|\tau)}{\vartheta_2(\tau)} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\vartheta_3(z|\tau)}{\vartheta_3(\tau)} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\vartheta_4(z|\tau)}{\vartheta_4(\tau)} \right)^2 \right].$$

The second author thanks the NSF and the Asa Griggs Candler Fund for their generous support.

This expression is obtained by an orbifold calculation on T^4/\mathbb{Z}_2 in [6]. Its specializations at $z = 0$, $z = 1/2$ and $z = (\tau + 1)/2$ gives the classical topological invariants $\chi=24$, $\sigma=16$ and $\hat{A} = -2$ respectively. Here we consider the following alternate representation obtained by Eguchi and Hikami [8] motivated by superconformal field theory:

$$Z(z|\tau) = \frac{\vartheta_1(z|\tau)^2}{\eta(\tau)^3} \left(24 \mu(z; \tau) + H(\tau) \right).$$

Here $H(\tau)$ is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad H(\tau) := -8 \sum_{w \in \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1+\tau}{2}, \frac{\tau}{2}\}} \mu(w; \tau) = 2q^{-\frac{1}{8}} \left(-1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n q^n \right),$$

where $\mu(z; \tau)$ is the famous function

$$\mu(z; \tau) = \frac{i e^{\pi iz}}{\vartheta_1(z|\tau)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)} e^{2\pi inz}}{1 - q^n e^{2\pi iz}}$$

defined by Zwegers [18] in his thesis on Ramanujan's mock theta functions.

As explained in [8], $H(\tau)$ is the holomorphic part of a weight $1/2$ harmonic Maass form, a so-called *mock modular form*. Its first few coefficients A_n are:

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	\dots
A_n	45	231	770	2277	5796	13915	30843	65550	\dots

Amazingly, Eguchi, Ooguri, and Tachikawa [9] recognized these numbers as sums of dimensions of the irreducible representations of the Mathieu group M_{24} . Indeed, the dimensions of the irreducible representations are (in increasing order):

$$1, 23, 45, 45, 231, 231, 252, 253, 483, 770, 770, 990, 990, 1035, 1035, 1035, \\ 1265, 1771, 2024, 2277, 3312, 3520, 5313, 5544, 5796, 10395.$$

One sees that A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 and A_5 are dimensions, while

$$A_6 = 3520 + 10395 \quad \text{and} \quad A_7 = 10395 + 5796 + 5544 + 5313 + 2024 + 1771.$$

We have their ‘‘moonshine’’ conjecture¹ – also referred to as ‘‘umbral moonshine’’ [4]:

Conjecture (Moonshine). *The Fourier coefficients A_n of $H(\tau)$ are given as special sums² of dimensions of irreducible representations of the simple sporadic group M_{24} .*

Here we prove that the coefficients of $H(\tau)$ encode further deep information. We compute the numbers $\mathbf{D}_{m,2n}[H(\tau)]$, the Moore-Witten [15] u -plane integrals for $H(\tau)$, and we prove that they are, up to a multiplicative factor of 12, the $SO(3)$ -Donaldson invariants for $\mathbb{C}P^2$.

¹This is analogous to the ‘‘Monstrous Moonshine’’ conjecture by Conway and Norton which related the coefficients of Klein's j -function to the representations of the Monster [1]. By work of Frenkel, Lepowsky and Meurman [10], and Borcherds [2] (among others), moonshine for $j(\tau)$ is now understood.

²As in the case of the Montrous Moonshine Conjecture, there are many representations of the generic A_n , and so the proper formulation of this conjecture requires a precise description of these sums [11].

These invariants are a sequence of rational numbers which together form a diffeomorphism class invariant for \mathbb{CP}^2 (for background see [5, 12, 13, 14]).

Theorem 1.1. *For all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the $SO(3)$ -Donaldson invariants $\Phi_{m,2n}$ for \mathbb{CP}^2 satisfy*

$$12\Phi_{m,2n} = \mathbf{D}_{m,2n}[H(\tau)].$$

Remark. The u -plane integrals $\mathbf{D}_{m,2n}[H(\tau)]$ are given explicitly in terms of the coefficients of $H(\tau)$ (see 3.1). Therefore, the Eguchi-Ooguri-Tachikawa Moonshine Conjecture implies that these Donaldson invariants are given explicitly in terms of the dimensions of the irreducible representations of M_{24} . We will discuss the numerical identities implied by Theorem 1.1 in Section 3.2. We also describe the Donaldson invariant generating function in terms of derivatives of $H(\tau)$.

This paper builds upon earlier work by the authors [14] on the Moore-Witten Conjecture for \mathbb{CP}^2 . We shall make substantial use of the results in that paper, and we will recall the main facts that we need to prove Theorem 1.1.

In Section 2 we recall basic facts about those weight $1/2$ harmonic Maass forms whose shadow is the cube of Dedekind's eta-function. In Section 3 we recall and apply the main results from [14]. In particular, we recall the relationship between the u -plane integrals for such forms and the $SO(3)$ -Donaldson invariants for \mathbb{CP}^2 . We then conclude with the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. CERTAIN HARMONIC MAASS FORMS

We let $M(\tau)$ be a weight $1/2$ harmonic Maass form³ (for definitions see [3, 16, 17]) for $\Gamma(2) \cap \Gamma_0(4)$ whose shadow⁴ is $\eta(\tau)^3$. Namely, we have that

$$(2.1) \quad \sqrt{2i} \frac{d}{d\bar{\tau}} M(\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{Im}\tau}} \overline{\eta^3(\tau)}.$$

For such $M(\tau)$, we write $M(\tau) = M^+(\tau) + M^-(\tau)$, where the *holomorphic part*, a *mock modular form*, is $M^+(\tau) = q^{-1/8} \sum_{n \geq 0} H_n q^{n/2}$. The *non-holomorphic part* $M^-(\tau)$ is

$$M^-(\tau) = -\frac{2i}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{l \geq 0} (-1)^l \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \frac{(2l+1)^2}{2} \text{Im}\tau\right) q^{-\frac{(2l+1)^2}{8}},$$

where $\Gamma(1/2, t)$ is the incomplete Gamma function. This follows from Jacobi's identity

$$\eta(\tau)^3 = q^{\frac{1}{8}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (2n+1) q^{\frac{n^2+n}{2}}.$$

Remark. Note that the non-holomorphic part $M^-(\tau)$ is the same for every weight $1/2$ harmonic Maass form with shadow $\eta^3(\tau)$ since this part is obtained as the ‘‘Eichler-Zagier’’ integral of the shadow. However, the holomorphic part is not uniquely determined. It is unique up to the addition of a *weakly holomorphic modular form*, a form whose poles (if any) are supported at cusps.

³These forms were first defined by Bruinier and Funke [3] in their work on geometric theta lifts.

⁴The term *shadow* was coined by Zagier in [17].

The next result gives families of modular forms from such an $M(\tau)$ using Cohen brackets. To make this precise, we recall the two Eisenstein series

$$E_2(\tau) := 1 - 24 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d|n} dq^n \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{E}_2(\tau) := E_2(\tau) - \frac{3}{\pi \operatorname{Im} \tau}.$$

The authors proved the following lemma in [14].

Lemma 2.1. [Lemma 4.10 of [14]] *Assuming the hypotheses above, we have that*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{2}}^k [M(\tau)] := \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + j)} 2^{2j} 3^j E_2^{k-j}(\tau) \left(q \frac{d}{dq} \right)^j M(\tau)$$

is modular of weight $2k + 1/2$ for $\Gamma(2) \cap \Gamma_0(4)$, and it satisfies

$$\sqrt{2}i \frac{d}{d\bar{\tau}} \mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{2}}^k [M(\tau)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \tau}} \widehat{E}_2^k(\tau) \overline{\eta^3(\tau)}.$$

This lemma implies the following corollary:

Corollary 2.2. *If $M(\tau)$ and $\widetilde{M}(\tau)$ are weight $\frac{1}{2}$ harmonic Maass forms on $\Gamma(2) \cap \Gamma_0(4)$ whose shadow is $\eta(\tau)^3$, then*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{2}}^k [M(\tau)] - \mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{2}}^k [\widetilde{M}(\tau)] = \mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{2}}^k [M(\tau) - \widetilde{M}(\tau)] = \mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{2}}^k [M^+(\tau) - \widetilde{M}^+(\tau)]$$

is a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight $2k + 1/2$.

2.1. The $\mathcal{Q}(q)$ series. Here we recall one explicit example of a harmonic Maass form which plays the role of $M(\tau)$ in the previous subsection. To this end, we define modular forms $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\tau)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\tau) &:= A(8\tau) = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} a(n)q^n := \frac{\eta(4\tau)^8}{\eta(8\tau)^7} = q^{-1} - 8q^3 + 27q^7 - \dots, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau) &:= B(8\tau) = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} b(n)q^n := \frac{\eta(8\tau)^5}{\eta(16\tau)^4} = q^{-1} - 5q^7 + 9q^{15} - \dots. \end{aligned}$$

We sieve on the Fourier expansion of $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ to define the modular forms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{3,8}(\tau) &:= A_{3,8}(8\tau) = \sum_{n \equiv 3 \pmod{8}} a(n)q^n = -8q^3 - 56q^{11} + \dots, \\ \mathcal{A}_{7,8}(\tau) &:= A_{7,8}(8\tau) = \sum_{n \equiv 7 \pmod{8}} a(n)q^n = q^{-1} + 27q^7 + 105q^{15} + \dots. \end{aligned}$$

We also recall the definition of the following mock theta function

$$\mathcal{M}(q) := q^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} q^{8(n+1)^2} \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - q^{16k-8})}{\prod_{k=1}^{n+1} (1 + q^{16k-8})^2} = -q^7 + 2q^{15} - 3q^{23} + \dots,$$

We define

$$\mathcal{Q}^+(q) = \mathcal{Q}^+(\tau) := -\frac{7}{2}\mathcal{A}_{3,8}(\tau) + \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{A}_{7,8}(\tau) - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}(\tau) + 4\mathcal{M}(q),$$

and so we have that

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{Q}^+(\tau/8) = \frac{1}{q^{\frac{1}{8}}} \left(1 + 28q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 39q + 196q^{\frac{3}{2}} + 161q^2 + \dots \right).$$

In terms of this q -series, the authors proved the following theorem in [14].

Theorem 2.3. [Theorem 7.2 of [14]] *The function $\mathcal{Q}^+(\tau/8)$ is the holomorphic part of a weight $1/2$ harmonic Maass form on $\Gamma(2) \cap \Gamma_0(4)$ whose shadow is $\eta(\tau)^3$.*

3. u -PLANE INTEGRALS, DONALDSON INVARIANTS AND THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Suppose again that $M(\tau)$ is a weight $1/2$ harmonic Maass form on $\Gamma(2) \cap \Gamma_0(4)$ whose shadow is $\eta(\tau)^3$. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the authors proved that the quantities

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbf{D}_{m,2n}[M^+(\tau)] := \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{2^{n-1} 3^n} \frac{(2n)!}{(n-k)! k!} \left[\frac{\vartheta_4^9(\tau) [\vartheta_2^4(\tau) + \vartheta_3^4(\tau)]^{m+n-k}}{[\vartheta_2(\tau) \vartheta_3(\tau)]^{2m+2n+3}} \mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{2}}^k [M^+(\tau)] \right]_{q^0},$$

where $[\cdot]_{q^0}$ denotes the constant coefficient term, are the Moore-Witten u -plane integrals for $M(\tau)$ (cf. [14]). Notice that if $\widetilde{M}(\tau)$ is another such form, then

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbf{D}_{m,2n}[M^+(\tau)] - \mathbf{D}_{m,2n}[\widetilde{M}^+(\tau)] = \mathbf{D}_{m,2n}[M^+(\tau) - \widetilde{M}^+(\tau)].$$

In their seminal paper [15], Moore and Witten essentially conjectured that the u -plane integrals in (3.1) for a suitable $M^+(\tau)$ should give the $\text{SO}(3)$ -Donaldson invariants of \mathbb{CP}^2 . These invariants are an infinite sequence of rational numbers $\Phi_{m,2n}$ labeled by integers $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ that can be assembled in a generating function in the two formal variables p, S :

$$\mathbf{Z}(p, S) = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} \Phi_{m,2n} \frac{p^m S^{2n}}{m! (2n)!}.$$

This power series is a diffeomorphism invariant for \mathbb{CP}^2 . The main theorem in [14] proved this conjecture for $\mathcal{Q}^+(\tau/8)$.

Theorem 3.1. [Theorem 1.1 of [14]] *For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have that*

$$\Phi_{m,2n} = \mathbf{D}_{m,2n}[\mathcal{Q}^+(\tau/8)].$$

Using the work in [14], we prove the following important theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $M(\tau)$ be as above, then for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have:*

$$\mathbf{D}_{m,2n}[\mathcal{Q}^+(\tau/8)] - \mathbf{D}_{m,2n}[M^+(\tau)] = \mathbf{D}_{m,2n}[\mathcal{Q}^+(\tau/8) - M^+(\tau)] = 0.$$

Proof. We prove that the constant terms vanish in expressions of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{2^{n-1} 3^n} \frac{(2n)!}{(n-k)! k!} \frac{\vartheta_4^9(\tau) [\vartheta_2^4(\tau) + \vartheta_3^4(\tau)]^{m+n-k}}{[\vartheta_2(\tau) \vartheta_3(\tau)]^{2m+2n+3}} \mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{2}}^k [\mathcal{Q}^+(\tau/8) - M(\tau)] .$$

It is sufficient to show that this is the case for each summand. Therefore, after rescaling $\tau \rightarrow 8\tau$ and $q \rightarrow q^8$ it is enough to show that the constant vanishes in

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\Theta_4^9(\tau) [16\Theta_2^4(\tau) + \Theta_3^4(\tau)]^{m+n-k}}{[\Theta_2(\tau) \Theta_3(\tau)]^{2m+2n+3}} \mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{2}}^k [\mathcal{Q}^+(\tau) - M(8\tau)] \\ &= \frac{\Theta_4^9(\tau)}{\Theta_2(\tau) \Theta_3(\tau) \eta(8\tau)^3} \frac{[16\Theta_2^4(\tau) + \Theta_3^4(\tau)]^{m+n-k}}{[\Theta_2(\tau) \Theta_3(\tau)]^{2m+2n-2k}} \frac{\eta(8\tau)^3}{(\Theta_2(\tau) \Theta_3(\tau))^{2k+2}} \mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{2}}^k [\mathcal{Q}^+(\tau) - M(8\tau)] . \end{aligned}$$

Here the classical theta functions are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_2(\tau) &:= \frac{\eta(16\tau)^2}{\eta(8\tau)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(2n+1)^2} = q + q^9 + q^{25} + \dots , \\ \Theta_3(\tau) &:= \frac{\eta(8\tau)^5}{\eta(4\tau)^2 \eta(16\tau)^2} = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{4n^2} = 1 + 2q^4 + 2q^{16} + 2q^{36} + \dots , \\ \Theta_4(\tau) &:= \frac{\eta(4\tau)^2}{\eta(8\tau)} = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{4n^2} = 1 - 2q^4 + 2q^{16} - 2q^{36} + \dots . \end{aligned}$$

These are related to the theta functions $\vartheta_2(\tau)$, $\vartheta_3(\tau)$ and $\vartheta_4(\tau)$ by

$$\vartheta_2(\tau) = 2\Theta_2\left(\frac{\tau}{8}\right), \quad \vartheta_3(\tau) = \Theta_3\left(\frac{\tau}{8}\right), \quad \vartheta_4(\tau) = \Theta_4\left(\frac{\tau}{8}\right).$$

We define a weakly holomorphic modular function by

$$(3.4) \quad \widehat{Z}_0(q) = \widehat{Z}_0(\tau) := \frac{E^*(4\tau)}{\Theta_2(\tau)^2 \Theta_3(\tau)^2}$$

where $E^*(4\tau)$ is the weight 2 Eisenstein series with

$$E^*(4\tau) = 16\Theta_2(\tau)^4 + \Theta_3(\tau)^4 = 1 + 24q^4 + 24q^2 + \dots ,$$

and $\widehat{Z}_0(\tau/8)$ is a Hauptmodul for $\Gamma_0(4)$. A calculation shows that

$$q \frac{d}{dq} \widehat{Z}_0(q) = \frac{\Theta_4(\tau)^9}{\Theta_2(\tau) \Theta_3(\tau) \eta(8\tau)^3} .$$

Equation (3.3) becomes

$$(3.5) \quad q \frac{d}{dq} \widehat{Z}_0(q) \cdot \widehat{Z}_0(q)^{m+n-k} \cdot \mathcal{H}_k(q) ,$$

where

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{H}_k(q) := \frac{\eta(8\tau)^3}{(\Theta_2(\tau) \Theta_3(\tau))^{2k+2}} \mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{2}}^k [\mathcal{Q}^+(\tau) - M(8\tau)] .$$

To prove the theorem, it suffices to show that the constant term in (3.5) vanishes. Hence, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{H}_k(q)$ is a polynomial in $\widehat{Z}_0(q)$. To this end, we define $M_0^*(\Gamma_0(8))$ to be the space of modular function on $\Gamma_0(8)$ which are holomorphic away from infinity, and is a subspace of $\mathbb{C}((q^2))$. One can easily verify that $M_0^*(\Gamma_0(8))$ is precisely the set of polynomials in $\widehat{Z}_0(q)$. From Corollary 2.2 we can observe that $\mathcal{H}_k(q)$ is modular with weight 0. A calculation shows that $(\Theta_2(\tau)\Theta_3(\tau))^{-2} = q^{-2} f(q^4)$ is holomorphic away from infinity, and $f(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$. We also have $\eta(8\tau)^3 = qg(q^8)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{2}}^k[\mathcal{Q}^+(\tau) - M(8\tau)] = q^{-1} h(q^4)$, where $g(q), h(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$. Hence, $\mathcal{H}_k(q) \in \mathbb{C}((q^2))$ is modular of weight 0 on $M_0^*(\Gamma_0(8))$, and so is a polynomial in $\widehat{Z}_0(\tau)$. \square

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $H(\tau)$ is the mock modular part of a weight 1/2 harmonic Maass form on $\Gamma(2) \cap \Gamma_0(4)$ whose shadow is the $8 \cdot 3 \cdot \eta(\tau)^3/2 = 12\eta(\tau)^3$, it follows from Theorem 3.1 and 3.2 that for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{m,2n} &= \mathbf{D}_{m,2n}[\mathcal{Q}^+(\tau/8)] = \mathbf{D}_{m,2n}[H(\tau)/12] + \mathbf{D}_{m,2n}[\mathcal{Q}^+(\tau/8) - H(\tau)/12] \\ &= \mathbf{D}_{m,2n}[H(\tau)/12] = \frac{1}{12} \mathbf{D}_{m,2n}[H(\tau)]. \end{aligned}$$

3.2. Discussion of the identities implied by Theorem 1.1. In the table below we list the first non-vanishing $\text{SO}(3)$ -Donaldson invariants $\Phi_{m,2n}$ of \mathbb{CP}^2 as well as the coefficients $\mathbf{D}_{m,2n}[M^+(\tau)]$ when the mock modular form is given as $M^+(\tau) = q^{-1/8} \sum_{k \geq 0} H_k q^{k/2}$. In general, $\mathbf{D}_{m,2n}[M^+(\tau)]$ is nonvanishing for $m+n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and a rational linear combination of the first $(m+n)/2 + 1$ coefficients of $M^+(\tau)$.

(m, n)	$\Phi_{m,2n}$	$\mathbf{D}_{m,2n}[M^+(\tau)]$
$(0, 0)$	-1	$-\frac{1}{4}H_1 + 6H_0$
$(0, 2)$	$-\frac{3}{16}$	$-\frac{49}{64}H_2 + \frac{9}{4}H_1 - \frac{2133}{64}H_0$
$(1, 1)$	$-\frac{5}{16}$	$-\frac{7}{64}H_2 + \frac{1}{4}H_1 - \frac{195}{64}H_0$
$(2, 0)$	$-\frac{19}{16}$	$-\frac{1}{64}H_2 - \frac{1}{4}H_1 + \frac{411}{64}H_0$
$(0, 4)$	$-\frac{232}{256}$	$-\frac{14641}{1024}H_3 + \frac{2401}{128}H_2 + \frac{44631}{1024}H_1 + \frac{108741}{128}H_0$
$(1, 3)$	$-\frac{152}{256}$	$-\frac{1331}{1024}H_3 - \frac{49}{128}H_2 + \frac{10341}{1024}H_1 - \frac{1749}{128}H_0$
$(2, 2)$	$-\frac{136}{256}$	$-\frac{121}{1024}H_3 - \frac{91}{128}H_2 + \frac{2895}{1024}H_1 - \frac{3687}{128}H_0$
$(3, 1)$	$-\frac{184}{256}$	$-\frac{11}{1024}H_3 - \frac{29}{128}H_2 + \frac{589}{1024}H_1 - \frac{753}{128}H_0$
$(4, 0)$	$-\frac{680}{256}$	$-\frac{1}{1024}H_3 - \frac{7}{128}H_2 - \frac{505}{1024}H_1 + \frac{1725}{128}H_0$

Theorem 3.1 states that choosing $M^+(\tau) = \mathcal{Q}^+(\tau/8)$ from (2.2) we find equality of the Donaldson invariants $\Phi_{m,2n}$ and the u -plane integral $\mathbf{D}_{m,2n}[M^+(\tau)]$. In fact, setting $H_0 = 1, H_1 = 28, H_2 = 39, H_3 = 196$ in the third column of the table above gives the Donaldson invariants of the second column.

On the other hand, the choice $M^+(\tau) = H(\tau)/12$ from (1.1) implies that $H_0 = -1/6, H_{2k} = A_k/6, H_{2k+1} = 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Theorem 1.1 states that choosing $M^+(\tau) = H(\tau)/12$ we still find equality of the Donaldson invariants $\Phi_{m,2n}$ and the u -plane integral $\mathbf{D}_{m,2n}[M^+(\tau)]$.

In fact, setting $H_0 = -1/6, H_1 = 0, H_2 = 45/6, H_3 = 0$ in the third column of the table gives the Donaldson invariants of the second column as well.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 implies the following form for the generating function $\mathbf{Z}(p, S)$ of the $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ -Donaldson invariants of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ in terms of the mock modular form $H(\tau)$:

$$(3.7) \quad \mathbf{Z}(p, s) = - \sum_{m, n \geq 0} \frac{p^m S^{2n}}{2^{2m+3n+4} \cdot 3^{n+1} \cdot m! \cdot n!} \\ \times \left[q \frac{d}{dq} \widehat{Z}_0(q) \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \widehat{Z}_0(q)^{m+n-k} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}^k[H(8\tau)] \right]_{q^0},$$

where $\widehat{Z}_0(q)$ was defined in (3.4) and we have set

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}^k[H(8\tau)] = \frac{\eta(8\tau)^3}{(\Theta_2(\tau)\Theta_3(\tau))^{2k+2}} \mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{2}}^k[H(8\tau)].$$

REFERENCES

- [1] *J. H. Conway and S. P. Norton*, Monstrous Moonshine, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **11** (1979), 308-339.
- [2] *R. E. Borcherds*, Monstrous Moonshine and Monstrous Lie superalgebras, *Invent. Math.* **109** (1992), 405-444.
- [3] *J. H. Bruinier and J. Funke*, On two geometric theta lifts, *Duke Math. J.* **125** (2004), 45-90.
- [4] *M. C. N. Cheng, J. F. R. Duncan, J. A. Harvey*, Umbral Moonshine, arXiv:1204.2779v2 [math.RT].
- [5] *S. K. Donaldson and P. B. Kronheimer*, The geometry of four-manifolds, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1990.
- [6] *T. Eguchi, H. Ooguri, A. Taormina and S. K. Yang*, Superconformal Algebras And String Compactification On Manifolds With $\mathrm{SU}(N)$ Holonomy, *Nuclear Phys. B* **315** (1989), no. 1, 193-221.
- [7] *T. Eguchi, Y. Sugawara, A. Taormina*, Modular forms and elliptic genera for ALE spaces. *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, **61**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2011.
- [8] *T. Eguchi, K. Hikami*, Superconformal algebras and mock theta functions. II. Rademacher expansion for K3 surface. *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* **3** (2009), no. 3, 531-554.
- [9] *T. Eguchi, H. Ooguri, Y. Tachikawa*, Notes on the K3 surface and the Mathieu group M24. *Exp. Math.* **20** (2011), no. 1, 91-96.
- [10] *I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, and A. Meurman*, A natural representation of the Fischer-Griess Monster with the modular J function as character, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **81** (1984), 3256-3260.
- [11] *M. R. Gaberdiel, R. Volpato*, Mathieu Moonshine and Orbifold K3s, arXiv:1206.5143v1 [hep-th].
- [12] *L. Götsche*, Modular forms and Donaldson invariants for 4-manifolds with $b_+ = 1$, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), no. 3, 827-843.
- [13] *L. Götsche and D. Zagier*, Jacobi forms and the structure of Donaldson invariants for 4-manifolds with $b_+ = 1$, *Selecta Math.* **4** (1998), no. 1, 69-115.
- [14] *A. Malmendier, K. Ono*, $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ -Donaldson invariants of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ and mock theta functions. *Geometry and Topology*. accepted for publication. arXiv:0808.1442 [math.DG].
- [15] *G. Moore and E. Witten*, Integration over the u -plane in Donaldson theory, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **1** (1997), no. 2, 298-387.
- [16] *K. Ono*, Unearthing the visions of a master: Harmonic Maass forms and number theory, *Proceedings of the 2008 Harvard-MIT Current Developments in Mathematics Conference*, Int. Press, Somerville, Ma., 2009, 347-454.

- [17] *D. Zagier*, Ramanujan's mock theta functions and their applications [d'après Zwegers and Bringmann-Ono], Sémin. Bourbaki (2007/2008), Astérisque, No. 326, Exp No. 986, vii-viii, (2010) 143-164.
- [18] *S. P. Zwegers*, mock theta functions, Ph.D. Thesis, Universiteit Utrecht, 2002.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLBY COLLEGE, WATERVILLE, MAINE 04901
E-mail address: `andreas.malmendier@colby.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, EMORY UNIVERSITY, ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30322

E-mail address: `ono@mathcs.emory.edu`