RESULTS AND PROBLEMS ON SATURATION NUMBERS FOR LINEAR FORESTS


ABSTRACT. A graph $G$ is called $H$-saturated if $G$ contains no copy of $H$, but for any edge $e$ in the complement of $G$, the graph $G + e$ contains some copy of $H$. The minimum size of an $n$-vertex $H$-saturated graph is denoted by sat($n$, $H$) and is called the saturation number of $H$. In [KT86], Kászonyi and Tuza determined the values of sat($n$, $H$) when $H$ is a path or a disjoint union of edges. In this paper, we determine the values of sat($n$, $H$) for the disjoint union of paths (a linear forest) within a constant depending only on $H$. Moreover, we obtain exact values for some special classes and include several conjectures.

1. INTRODUCTION

A graph $G$ is called $H$-saturated if $G$ contains no copy of $H$, but for any edge $e$ in the complement of $G$, the graph $G + e$ contains some copy of $H$. The set of $H$-saturated graphs of order $n$ is denoted by SAT($n$, $H$), and the saturation number, denoted sat($n$, $H$), is the minimum size of a graph in SAT($n$, $H$). The maximum size of a graph in SAT($n$, $H$) is the well known Turán extremal number (see [T41]), and is usually denoted by ex($n$, $H$). The graphs in SAT($n$, $H$) of minimum size will be denoted by SAT($n$, $H$), and those with a maximum number of edges will be denoted by SAT($n$, $H$). Thus, all graphs in SAT($n$, $H$) have sat($n$, $H$) edges and graphs in SAT($n$, $H$) have ex($n$, $H$) edges.

The notion of the saturation number of a graph was introduced by Erdős, Hajnal, and Moon in [EHM64] in which the authors proved sat($n$, $K_t$) = $(t-2) + (n-t+2)(t-2)$ and SAT($n$, $K_t$) = $K_{t-2} + \overline{K}_{n-t+2}$.
Since then, \( \text{sat}(n, H) \) and \( \text{SAT}(n, H) \) have been investigated for a range of graphs \( H \). Some examples include trees, cycles, bipartite graphs, matchings, friendship graphs, and books. However, the exact value of \( \text{sat}(n, H) \) and a complete characterization of \( \text{SAT}(n, H) \) are known for very few special classes of graphs \( H \). For a summary of known results see [FFS] and for results on trees see [FFGJ09]. There has been extensive study of extremal numbers, \( ex(n, H) \), and a well-developed theory of \( \text{SAT}(n, H) \) has been established. This is not so for saturation numbers, \( \text{sat}(n, H) \), and the graphs in \( \text{SAT}(n, H) \). For example, many of the hereditary and monotone properties that hold for \( \text{SAT}(n, H) \) do not hold for \( \text{SAT}(n, H) \).

For \( t \geq 2 \), let \( F = P_{k_1} \cup P_{k_2} \cup \ldots \cup P_{k_t} \) be a linear forest where \( P_k \) denotes a path on \( k \) vertices and \( k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \ldots \geq k_t \geq 2 \). An example of what is known about \( ex(n, F) \) for a disjoint union of paths \( F \) can be found in results by Bushaw and Kettle [BK11]. We will show that the value of \( \text{sat}(n, F) \) is determined by the size of the shortest path, \( P_{k_t} \). We determine the value of \( \text{sat}(n, F) \) within a constant that is a function of the order of \( F \). Moreover, we will improve the constant for linear forests composed of paths of equal length. Exact values will be determined in some small order cases.

Only finite graphs without loops or multiple edges will be considered. Notation will be standard, and generally follow the notation of [CLZ11]. We begin by defining a particular family of trees which will be used repeatedly in the remainder of the paper.

A perfect degree three tree is a tree such that every vertex has degree 3 or degree 1, and all vertices of degree 1 are the same distance from the center. Thus, all perfect degree three trees differ only by their diameter. For \( k \geq 2 \), we will let \( T_k \) denote the perfect degree three tree whose longest path contains \( k \) vertices. By this definition, \( T_2 = K_2 \) and \( T_3 = K_{1,3} \). See Figure 1 for more examples.

In some instances it will be useful to view \( T_k \) as a rooted (or doubly rooted) tree. Specifically, if \( k \) is odd, let the root \( r \) be the unique vertex in the center of \( T_k \). Viewed in this way, the tree has \( \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil \) levels, the root has three children, all

![Figure 1](image-url)
vertices in the middle levels have two children, and all vertices of degree 1 are in
the bottom level. If $k$ is even, the center consists of two adjacent vertices. In this
case, all vertices have two children except for those of degree 1, all of which are
in the bottom of the $k/2$ levels.

Observe that $T_{k−1}$ is $P_k$-saturated for $k \geq 4$. In addition, any graph obtained
from $T_{k−1}$ by adding more pendant vertices to those already adjacent to vertices
of degree 1 remains $P_k$-saturated. As Theorem 1.1 will show, for $k \geq 5$, the
graphs $T_{k−1}$ are building blocks for graphs in $\text{SAT}(n, P_k)$. For ease of reference,
when $k \geq 3$, we let

$$a_k = |V(T_{k−1})| = \begin{cases} 
3 \cdot 2^{m−1} − 2 & \text{if } k = 2m \\
4 \cdot 2^{m−1} − 2 & \text{if } k = 2m + 1,
\end{cases}$$

and we define $a_2 = 1$.

**Theorem 1.1.** [KT86] Let $P_k$ be a path on $k \geq 5$ vertices and let $T_{k−1}$ and
$a_k$ be the tree and the order of the tree defined above, respectively. Then, for
$n \geq a_k$, every graph in $\text{SAT}(n, P_k)$ consists of a forest with $\lfloor n/a_k \rfloor$
components and $\text{sat}(n, P_k) = n − \lfloor n/a_k \rfloor$. Furthermore, if $T$ is a $P_k$-saturated tree, then
$T_{k−1} \subseteq T$.

Note that $P_2$-saturated graphs consist of the set of empty graphs. The set of
$P_3$-saturated graphs consist of independent edges with at most one isolated vertex,
depending upon the parity of $n$. While $T_3$ is $P_4$-saturated, the set of $P_4$-saturated
graphs of minimum size consists of independent edges with possibly a single $K_3$
as a component, depending upon the parity of $n$.

In this paper we investigate the saturation number of graphs consisting of a
disjoint union of paths. For convenience, we refer to such graphs as linear forests.
The following theorem established the saturation number for a matching, $tK_2$, which
can be viewed as a particular family of linear forests.

**Theorem 1.2.** [KT86]

(a) For $n \geq \max\{2k, 3k−3\}$, $\text{sat}(n, kP_2) = 3k−3$.

(b) For $n \geq \max\{2k, 3k−3\}$, $\text{SAT}(n, kP_2) = \{(k−1)K_3 \cup \overline{K}_{n−3k+3}\}$ or
$k = 2, n = 4$ and $\text{SAT}(n, kP_2) = \{K_{1,3}, K_3 \cup K_1\}$.

Our first result establishes bounds on the saturation number for an arbitrary
linear forest.
Theorem 1.3. For \( t \geq 2 \), let \( F = P_{k_1} \cup P_{k_2} \cup \cdots \cup P_{k_t} \) be a linear forest with \( k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \cdots \geq k_t \) and let \( k = k_t \) and \( q = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{t} k_i \right) - 1 \). Then, for \( n \) sufficiently large,

\[
\begin{align*}
    n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{a_k} \right\rfloor & \leq \text{sat}(n, F) \leq \left(\frac{q}{2}\right) + n - q - \left\lfloor \frac{n - q}{a_k} \right\rfloor, & \text{if } k \neq 4 \\
    n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor & \leq \text{sat}(n, F) \leq \left(\frac{q}{2}\right) + n - q - \left\lfloor \frac{n - q}{2} \right\rfloor, & \text{if } k = 4.
\end{align*}
\]

The proof of Theorem 1.3 will be given in Section 3. The above result shows that \( \text{sat}(n, F) \) is determined by the order of the smallest component of \( F \). An immediate corollary of Theorem 1.3 is the following:

Corollary 1.1. If \( F = P_{k_1} \cup P_{k_2} \cup \cdots \cup P_{k_t} \) is a linear forest with \( k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \cdots \geq k_t \geq 2 \) and \( k = k_t \), then

\[
\begin{align*}
    \text{sat}(n, F) & = n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{a_k} \right\rfloor + c(n) \quad \text{if } k \neq 4, \text{ and} \\
    \text{sat}(n, F) & = n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + c(n) \quad \text{if } k = 4,
\end{align*}
\]

for some constant \( c(n) \) with \( 0 \leq c(n) \leq \left(\frac{q}{2}\right) - q + \left\lfloor \frac{n - q}{a_k} \right\rfloor \).

Since \( a_{k+1} > a_k \) if \( k > 2 \), \( \text{sat}(n, F) \) increases as the smallest component in \( F \) increases provided \( n \) is sufficiently large. As a consequence of the the monotone property, we have the following result.

Corollary 1.2. Let \( F \) and \( F^* \) be two linear forests such that the order of the smallest components in \( F \) and \( F^* \) are \( k \) and \( k^* \). If \( k > k^* \) and \( (k, k^*) \neq (4, 3) \), then \( \text{sat}(n, F) > \text{sat}(n, F^*) \) provided \( n \) is sufficiently large.

We will now define two families of graphs that will be used throughout the remainder of the paper.

For \( k \geq 3 \), let \( N_k \) be obtained from a \( K_3 \) by attaching a perfect degree three tree \( T_{k-1} \) at each vertex of the \( K_3 \) beginning with a pendant vertex of the tree. Let \( N_4^* \) be the graph obtained from \( N_4 \) by adding another single pendant edge to one of the centers of the stars in the construction of \( N_4 \). Observe that \( |V(N_k)| = 3a_k \). (See Figure 2.)

For \( k \geq 5 \), we define \( Z(n, k) \) to be the graph on \( n \) vertices consisting of \( \left\lceil \frac{n}{a_k} \right\rceil \) vertex disjoint copies of a \( T_{k-1} \) such that the remaining \( r = n - a_k \left\lfloor \frac{n}{a_k} \right\rfloor \)
vertices are attached as pendant vertices to the same vertex in the penultimate level of a single copy of $T_{k-1}$. (See Figure 3.) The graph $Z(n, k)$ is shown to be one of the graphs in $\text{SAT}(n, P_k)$ in [KT86]. For $k = 4$, we define $Z(n, k) = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor K_2$ when $n$ is even, and $Z(n, k) = K_3 \cup \lfloor (n-3)/2 \rfloor K_2$ when $n$ is odd. For $k = 3$, we define $Z(n, k) = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor K_2$. For $k = 2$, $Z(n, k) = \overline{K}_n$.
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\caption{Examples of the family $N_k$}
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\caption{The graph $Z(20, 5)$}
\end{figure}

When all components of $F$ are paths with the same order, we make the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.1.** Let $t \geq 2$ be an integer. For $n$ sufficiently large,

1. $\text{sat}(n, tP_3) = \lfloor \frac{n+6t-6}{2} \rfloor$ and $(t-1)N_3 \cup \lfloor \frac{n-6t+6}{2} \rfloor P_2 \in \text{SAT}(n, tP_3)$.

2. $\text{sat}(n, tP_4) = \begin{cases} 
(n + 12t - 12)/2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\
(n + 12t - 11)/2 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}.
\end{cases}$

Moreover,

$$(t-1)N_4 \cup (1/2)(n - 12t + 12)P_2 \in \text{SAT}(n, tP_4) \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even and}$$

$$(t-2)N_4 \cup (1/2)(n - 12t + 11)P_2 \in \text{SAT}(n, tP_4) \quad \text{if } n \text{ is odd}.$$

3. For $k \geq 5$, $\text{sat}(n, tP_k) = n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{a_k} \right\rfloor + 3(t-1)$, and

$$(t-1)N_k \cup Z(n - 3(t-1)a_k, k) \in \text{SAT}(n, tP_k).$$

Conjecture 1.1 will be shown to be true for $tP_3$’s for $t = 2, 3$ in Theorem 4.3 and for $2P_4$’s in Theorem 4.4.
2. Lemmas

We next prove seven lemmas that will be used repeatedly in the proofs of the theorems.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $F$ be a linear forest and $G$ an $F$-saturated graph. If $w$ is a vertex of $G$ of degree 2 and $u, v$ are the neighbors of $w$, then $uv \in E(G)$.

**Proof.** Let $F$, $G$, $u$, $v$, and $w$ be as stated in the lemma. Assume that $uv \notin E(G)$. Then, $G + uv$ contains a copy of $F$. Clearly, one of these paths contains $uv$. Replacing $uv$ with $uw$ or $vw$, or $uwv$, shows that there is a copy of $F$ in $G$, contradicting the assumption that $F \not\subseteq G$. □

**Lemma 2.2.** For every integer $k \geq 3$, $N_k$ is $2P_k$-saturated, and $N_k \cup Z(n - 3a_k, k)$ is $tP_k$-saturated.

**Proof.** Recall that the graph $N_k$ has a cycle $C$ with 3 vertices and three attached trees each isomorphic to $T_{k-1}$. Furthermore, recall that the tree $T_{k-1}$ contains a $P_{k-1}$ and is $P_k$-saturated. Thus, the addition of an edge to $N_k$ between two vertices of the same copy of $T_{k-1}$ will result in a $P_k$ using at most one vertex of the cycle. All other edges of the complement of $N_k$ lie between vertices of distinct copies of $T_{k-1}$, say $T$ and $T'$. If one of the two endpoints of the added edge $e$ lies on or between the root (or closest root) and the vertex on $C$ in its respective tree, say $T$, then there exists a $P_k$ using the longest path in $T$, the added edge, and ending in $T' - V(C)$. If both endpoints lie such that the root (or closest root) lies between the endpoint and $C$, then there is a $P_k$ beginning in $T \cap C$, through $T$ to the added edge and ending in $T' - C$. In all three cases, the addition of an edge to $N_k$ produces a $P_k$ that uses at most two of the $T_k$’s and at most one vertex of $C$. Thus a second vertex disjoint $P_k$ can be constructed in the remaining $T_k$ and the remaining two vertices of $C$. Finally, if $N_k$ is $2P_k$-saturated, then $N_k \cup Z(n - 3a_k, k)$ is $2P_k$-saturated. □

An immediate corollary of Lemma 2.2 is the following:

**Corollary 2.1.** For every integer $k \geq 3$ and $t \geq 2$, $(t-1)N_k$ is $tP_k$ saturated, and also $(t-1)N_k \cup Z(n - (t-1)3a_k, k)$ is $tP_k$-saturated.

**Lemma 2.3.** If $m \geq 2$ and $k \geq 3$ are integers, then no $mP_k$-saturated graph is a tree.

**Proof.** Suppose the contrary: there is a tree $T$ which is $mP_k$-saturated. Pick a vertex $u \in T$ and treat $T$ as a rooted tree with root $u$. For each vertex $v$,
let $T_v$ denote the subtree of $G$ that consists of $v$ and all its descendants. Clearly, $T_u = T$.

Since $T$ is $mP_k$-saturated, $T$ contains a copy of $m - 1$ disjoint paths $P_k$. Let $v$ be the root of a $P_k$ with the lowest rank (that is, the vertex of $P_k$ closest to $u$ is most distant from the root $u$). Since $T$ does not contain $mP_k$, $T - T_v$ does not contain a $(m - 1)P_k$.

Select a vertex $w \in V(T_v)$ such that $vw \notin E(T)$. Then $T + vw$ contains a copy of $mP_k$. By the minimality of the rank of $v$, $T - T_v$ contains $(m - 1)P_k$, a contradiction. Note that such a vertex $w$ must exists unless $k = 3$, $v$ is the middle vertex of this path, and $v$ has precisely two children (say $x_1$ and $x_2$) in $T$ both of which are pendant. In this case, the same argument applies to $T + x_1x_2$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3.

\[\square\]

Lemma 2.4. Let $t \geq 2$ and let $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \cdots \geq k_t \geq 5$ be integers. If a tree $T$ is $P_{k_1} \cup P_{k_2} \cup \cdots \cup P_{k_t}$ saturated, then $|T| \geq a_{k_t}$.

Proof. Since $t \geq 2$ and $k_i \leq k_i$ for all $i \leq t$, the graph $T$ must contain a path $P$ of length $k_t$. Let $k = k_t$. Let $C$ be the center of $P$. Note that $|C| = 1$ if $k$ is odd and $|C| = 2$ is $k$ is even. Starting with $C$, we perform a Breadth-First-Search and partition $V(T)$ into $V_0 = C, V_1, V_2, \ldots$ such that all vertices in $V_i$ have distance $i$ from $C$. Clearly, $V_i \neq \emptyset$ for $i \leq k/2 - 1$.

For each vertex $v$, let $T_v$ be the subtree consisting of $v$ and all descendants of $v$ in the tree $T$ under the Breadth-First-Search. (See Figure 4). Let $\ell(v)$ be the length of a longest path in $T_v$ starting at $v$. By Lemma 2.1, $T$ does not have vertices of degree 2. Thus, every vertex $x \in V_i$ is either a pendant vertex or has at least two children in $V_{i+1}$.

![Figure 4. The subtree $T_v$ is shown in bold.](image-url)
Recall that a Breadth-First-Search rooted at the center of $T_{k-1}$ would have exactly $\lceil (k-1)/2 \rceil$ levels such that every vertex has exactly two children except the last level in which all are pendant. Thus, by comparing $T$ with tree $T_{k-1}$, if $|V(T)| < |V(T_{k-1})| = a_k$, then, intuitively, some vertex of $T$ must have pendant children prematurely. More rigorously, if $|V(T)| < |V(T_{k-1})| = a_k$, there exists a vertex $v$ who has a child $w$ such that $\ell(w) > \ell(w^*)$ for all other children $w^*$ of $v$ and $\ell(w^*) \leq k/2 - 1$. Let $u$ be the predecessor of $v$. (See Figure 5.)

Now $T + uw$ must contain a copy of $F := P_{k_1} \cup P_{k_2} \cup \cdots \cup P_{k_t}$ such that one of the paths uses edge $uw$. If the new path follows edge $uw$ from $u$ to $w$ and remains in $T_w$, then there exists a copy of $F$ in $T$ by replacing edge $uw$ with the path $uvw$. Thus, the new path must contain the path $uwvw^*$ and remain in $T_w$. But $\ell(w^*) < \ell(w)$ again implies there exists a copy of $F$ in $T$. Thus, $|V(T)| \geq a_k$. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 2.5.** If $H$ is a connected graph with $8 \leq |H| \leq 11$, $|E(H)| = |H|$ and such that all vertices of degree 2 lie on a triangle, then either $H$ contains a $2P_4$ or the unique cycle in $H$ is a $C_3$.

**Proof.** Since $H$ is connected and $|E(H)| = |H|$, $H$ has a unique cycle $C$ with no chords and $H - E(C)$ is a forest. If $C = K_3$, the result follows, thus assume $|V(C)| \geq 4$. Now, to avoid vertices of degree 2 on $C$, there must exist a set of $|V(C)|$ independent edges between $V(C)$ and $V(H) - V(C)$. But for cycles on 4 or more vertices, such a graph always contains a $2P_4$. Thus, under the hypotheses of the lemma, the unique cycle in $H$ is a $C_3$ or $H$ contains a $2P_4$. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 2.6.** If $H$ is a connected graph with $8 \leq |H| \leq 9$, $|E(H)| = |H|+1$, and such that all vertices of degree 2 lie on a triangle, then either $H$ contains a $2P_4$ or the longest cycle in $H$ is a $C_5$. 
PROOF. Assume that \(|E(H)| = |H| + 1\), and let \(C\) be the longest cycle in \(H\). If \(|C| \geq 7\), then clearly there is a \(2P_4\) in \(H\). If \(C\) has no chords and \(|C| = 6\), then there will always be a vertex of degree 2 on \(C\) not on a triangle. Assume there is a chord in \(C\). Then this is the unique chord in \(C\). So there are two consecutive vertices on \(C\) not incident to the chord, say \(x\) and \(y\). Now \(C\) along with the two independent edges that must be adjacent to \(x\) and \(y\) contain a \(P_5\) and therefore a copy of \(2P_4\). Thus, under the hypothesis of the lemma, either \(H\) contains a \(2P_4\) or the longest cycle in \(H\) is a \(C_5\). □

Lemma 2.7. If \(H\) is a connected graph containing a triangle with \(8 \leq |H| \leq 11\) and \(|E(H)| = |H|\), then \(H\) is not \(2P_4\)-saturated.

PROOF. Suppose \(H\) satisfies the hypotheses of the Lemma and is \(2P_4\)-saturated. By assumption, the only cycle in \(H\) is \(C_3\) and \(H - E(C_3)\) is a forest. Label the vertices of the cycle \(u_i\) for \(i = 1, 2, 3\) and label the tree rooted at \(u_i\) as \(T_i\). Observe that Lemma 2.1 implies that no vertex of \(T_i\) can have degree 2 other than possibly \(u_i\). This fact will be used repeatedly in the following argument.

If all the vertices of \(H - V(C_3)\) are pendant, \(H\) is not \(2P_4\)-saturated. Thus, there is at least one tree, \(T_i\), containing a path on at least three vertices starting at \(u_i\). Lemma 2.1 implies that such a tree \(T_i\) could not be simply a path, forcing \(T_i\) to have at least 4 vertices. Since \(|V(H)| \leq 11\), at most two trees can have such paths. We simply consider the two cases.

If \(u_i\) has a path of length at least 2 in \(T_i\), for \(i = 1, 2\). Then, to avoid a \(2P_4\), neither tree can have a path of length more than 2. Let \(u_iv_1\) be an edge in \(T_i\). Then \(H + v_1u_2\) illustrates that \(H\) cannot be \(2P_4\)-saturated.

Assume that only one tree, say \(T_1\), has a path of length at least 2 beginning at \(u_1\). If the longest path in \(T_1\) starting at \(u_1\) contains 5 or more vertices, the additional vertices implied by Lemma 2.1 forces \(H\) to contain \(2P_4\). Thus, the longest path in \(T_1\) from \(u_1\) has either 3 or 4 vertices. If the path has 4 vertices, \(T_2 \cong T_3 \cong K_1\) to avoid a \(2P_4\) in \(H\). Such an \(H\) is not \(2P_4\)-saturated. If the path has 3 vertices (say, \(u_1, v_1, v_2\)), adding edge \(u_1v_2\) illustrates that \(H\) cannot be \(2P_4\)-saturated.

□

3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let \(F = P_{k_1} \cup P_{k_2} \cup \cdots \cup P_{k_t}\) be a linear forest with \(k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \cdots \geq k_t \geq 2\) and let \(k = k_2\) and \(q = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t} k_i\right) - 1\). To establish the upper bound, we construct a saturated graph \(G_F\) for \(F\) according to the value of \(k\).
• If \( k = 2 \), let \( G_F := K_q \cup \overline{K}_{n-q} \).

• If \( k = 3 \), let \( G_F := K_q \cup \lfloor \frac{n-q}{2} \rfloor K_2 \cup (n-q-2\lfloor \frac{n-q}{2} \rfloor)K_1 \).

• If \( k = 4 \), let

\[
G_F := \begin{cases} 
K_q \cup \frac{n-q}{2}K_2 & \text{provided } n-q \text{ is even} \\
K_q \cup \frac{n-q-3}{2}K_2 \cup K_3 & \text{provided } n-q \text{ is odd.}
\end{cases}
\]

• If \( k \geq 5 \), let \( G_F := K_q \cup Z(n-q,k_1) \).

We claim that \( G_F \in \text{SAT}(n,F) \) for \( k \geq 5 \), and that all the other cases are similar. Certainly \( K_q \) is not big enough to contain a copy of \( F \) and \( Z(n-q,k_1) \) does not contain any path of \( F \) so \( G_F \) contains no copy of \( F \) as a subgraph. Any edge added with one vertex in \( K_q \) trivially produces a copy of \( F \) as a subgraph. Also any edge added within \( Z(n-q,k_1) \) produces a \( P_{k_1} \) and the remaining paths \( P_{k_2}, \ldots, P_{k_{t-1}} \) in \( F \) can be embedded in \( K_q \) to produce a copy of \( F \) and complete the proof.

We now show the lower bound holds. Let \( G \in \text{SAT}(n,F) \).

• If \( k = 2 \), then \( a_k = 1 \), so \(|E(G)| \geq n - \lfloor \frac{n}{a_k} \rfloor = 0 \). The result holds vacuously.

• If \( k = 3 \), since \( G \) is \( F \)-saturated, there can be at most one isolated vertex in \( G \). Consequently, \(|E(G)| \geq \frac{n}{2} \).

• If \( k = 4 \), then any isolated vertex in \( G \) would imply that all of the other components of \( G \) would have at least 3 vertices and \(|E(G)| > 2(n-1)/3 > n/2 \). Thus, clearly \( \text{sat}(n,F) \geq n/2 \).

• Suppose \( k \geq 5 \). Consider any component \( G' \) of \( G \) that is a tree. Observe that \( G' \) must be \( F' \)-saturated for some sub-forest \( F' \) of \( F \). Since \( k \geq 5 \), any edge added to \( H' \) must produce a path \( P_m \) for \( m \geq k \). Therefore, by Lemma 2.4, \(|G'| \geq a_k \). Hence, if \( r \) is the number of vertices in the components of \( G \) that are not trees, then the number of edges in \( G \) is at least \( r + (n-r) - \lfloor \frac{n-r}{a_k} \rfloor \geq n - \frac{n}{a_k} \).

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

4. Improvement of the constant bound

In this section we prove several theorems that improve the bounds of Theorem 1.3 in some special families of linear forests. Specifically, we improve the bounds
in the cases when all the paths in the linear forest have the same length and when the forest has exactly two paths. Also, we show that Conjecture 1.1 holds in some small order cases.

We begin with linear forests such that all paths have the same length.

**Theorem 4.1.** For $n$ sufficiently large, $t \geq 2$ and $k \geq 5$,

$$n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{a_k} \right\rfloor \leq \text{sat}(n, tP_k) \leq n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{a_k} \right\rfloor + 3(t - 1).$$

**Proof.** By Corollary 2.1, we know that $(t - 1)N_k$ is $tP_k$-saturated, and also that $G = (t - 1)N_k \cup Z(n - (t - 1)3a_k, k)$ is $tP_k$-saturated. The number of edges in $G$ is $(t - 1)3a_k + (n - (t - 1)3a_k) - |(n - (t - 1)3a_k)/a_k| = n - \lfloor n/a_k \rfloor + 3(t - 1).$ This verifies the upper bound for $\text{sat}(n, tP_k)$. The lower bound is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.3. \hfill \Box

In the case when $t = 2$, the following result gives a very close bound for two paths.

**Theorem 4.2.** For $n$ sufficiently large and $5 \leq k \leq \lfloor (3k - 2)/2 \rfloor$,

$$n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{a_k} \right\rfloor \leq \text{sat}(n, P_k \cup P_\ell) \leq n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{a_k} \right\rfloor + 3.$$

Also, the graph $N_k \cup Z(n - 3a_k, k) \in \text{SAT}(n, P_k \cup P_\ell)$.

**Proof.** Consider the graph $G = N_k \cup Z(n - 3a_k, k)$. Since each of the trees $T_{k-1}$ in $N_k$ contains a $P_{k-1}$, the graph $N_k$ has a $P_{2k-2}$ containing two vertices of the triangle in $N_k$. Since any copy of $P_k$ will contain at least 2 vertices of the triangle in $N_k$, there does not exist a $P_k \cup P_\ell$ in $G$. The addition of any edge in $Z(n - 3a_k, k)$ will give a $P_k$ disjoint from $N_k$. Also, each edge added to a $T_{k-1} \in N_k$ produces a $P_k$ disjoint from a $P_{2k-2}$ in $N_k$. An edge added between two different copies of $T_{k-1}$ with one in $N_k$ and one in $Z(n - 3a_k, k)$ will produce a $P_k$ disjoint from a $P_{2k-2}$ in $N_k$. Finally, an edge added between two different copies of $T_{k-1}$ in $N_k$ will produce either a $P_k$ in the two copies of $T_{k-1}$, and a disjoint $P_1$ using vertices in the other copy of $T_{k-1}$ along with some vertices in one of the initial $T_{k-1}$, or a $P_1$ in the two copies of $T_{k-1}$ disjoint from a $P_k$ in the third $T_{k-1}$ along with two vertices of the triangle. It is in this final case that the longest path $P_1$ possible is $l = \lfloor (3k - 2)/2 \rfloor$, since not all of the vertices of one of the $T_{k-1}$ are available. Thus, $G \in \text{SAT}(n, P_k \cup P_\ell)$. The lower bound is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.3. \hfill \Box
The previous result provides support for the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 4.1.** For $n$ sufficiently large, $k \geq 4$, and $k \leq \ell \leq \lceil (3k - 2)/2 \rceil$, $\text{sat}(n, P_k \cup P_\ell) = n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{a_k} \right\rfloor + 3$, and $N_k \cup Z(n - 3a_k, k) \in \text{SAT}(n, P_k \cup P_\ell)$.

The next two theorems support Conjecture 1.1.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let $1 \leq t \leq 3$ and $n \geq 6t$ be two positive integers. Then,

$$\text{sat}(n, tP_3) = \left\lceil \frac{n + 6t - 6}{2} \right\rceil \quad \text{and} \quad (t-1)N_3 \cup \left\lfloor \frac{n - 6t + 6}{2} \right\rfloor P_2 \in \text{SAT}(n, tP_3).$$

**Theorem 4.4.** (a) For an even integer $n \geq 12$ and $t = 1, 2$,

$$\text{sat}(n, tP_4) = \frac{n + 12t - 12}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad (t-1)N_4 \cup \left(\frac{n - 12t + 12}{2}\right) P_2 \in \text{SAT}(n, tP_4).$$

(b) For an odd integer $n \geq 13$ and $t = 1, 2$,

$$\text{sat}(n, P_4) = \frac{n + 3}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad K_3 \cup \left(\frac{n - 3}{2}\right) P_2 \in \text{SAT}(n, P_4),$$

$$\text{sat}(n, 2P_4) = \frac{n + 13}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad N_4^* \cup \left(\frac{n - 13}{2}\right) P_2 \in \text{SAT}(n, 2P_4).$$

**Proof.** (Theorem 4.3) It is readily seen that graph $(t-1)N_3 \cup \left\lceil \frac{n - 6t + 6}{2} \right\rceil P_2$ is $tP_3$-saturated and it has $\left\lfloor \frac{n + 6t - 6}{2} \right\rfloor$ edges. Let $G$ be a $tP_3$-saturated graph of order $n \geq 6t$. We will show that $|E(G)| \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n + 6t - 6}{2} \right\rfloor$ for $t = 1, 2, 3$.

In [KT86], the case when $t = 1$ was proven. Observe that for $t \geq 2$, if $G$ contains $N_3$ as a connected component then the theorem follows by induction. That is, if $G$ is $tP_3$-saturated and $G$ contains a connected component isomorphic to $N_3$, then $G - N_3$ is a $(t-1)P_3$-saturated graph on $n - 6 > 6(t - 1)$ vertices. Thus, $|E(G)| = 6 + |E(G - V(N_3))| \geq 6 + \left\lfloor \frac{n + 6t - 12}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{n + 6t - 6}{2} \right\rfloor$. We will first prove some general structural properties of components in $\text{SAT}(n, tP_3)$.

For ease of reference, let $A$ represent a connected component of a $tP_3$-saturated graph $G$ such that $|V(A)| \geq 3$. We will begin by proving several properties of connected components of $tP_3$-saturated graphs. By Lemma 2.3 no connected component of $G$ of order 3 or more can be a tree. Thus, $A$ must contain at least one cycle.
and \(|E(A)| \geq |V(A)|\). By Lemma 2.1, the neighbors of any vertex of degree 2 in \(G\) must be adjacent. Thus, if \(A\) contains a unique cycle, \(C\), on 4 or more vertices, then \(A - E(C)\) is a forest consisting of \(|V(C)|\) nontrivial trees.

Furthermore, observe that no vertex of a \(tP_3\)-saturated graph can be adjacent to two vertices of degree 1 because the copy of \(P_3\) obtained by adding the edge between these two pendant vertices can be replaced by one already in the graph. Consequently, if a component \(A\) contains a unique cycle, \(C\), on 4 or more vertices, in fact \(A - E(C)\) is a forest consisting of \(|V(C)|\) copies of \(K_2\). If a component \(A\) contains a single cycle on 3 vertices, the preceding argument implies that each vertex of the cycle is adjacent to at most one vertex of degree 1. But, unless \(A = K_3\), \(A\) must have at least 6 vertices so \(A - E(C)\) is again a forest of \(K_2\)'s.

We now show that if \(A\) is a connected component of a \(tP_3\)-saturated graph \(G\) such that \(|E(A)| = |V(A)|\), then \(A = N_3\). By assumption, \(A\) contains a unique cycle. Clearly, \(A \neq K_3\) since \(K_3\) itself is not \(tP_3\)-saturated for any \(t\) and no edge added to \(K_3\) can produce a new copy of \(P_3\) that can’t be replaced by an existing copy. Thus, \(A\) must take the form of a chordless cycle such that each vertex of the cycle is adjacent to a single pendant vertex. Since \(A\) is not complete, \(A\) itself must be \((r + 1)P_3\)-saturated for some \(r \geq 1\). Thus, \(A\) contains exactly \(r\) copies of \(P_3\). Since each copy of \(P_3\) must use at least two vertices of the cycle, \(A\) must have either \(4r\) or \(4r + 2\) vertices. (That is, the cycle is either \(C_{2r}\) or \(C_{2r+1}\)) Label the vertices of \(C\) cyclically, \(v_1, v_2, \ldots\). If \(r \geq 2\), the edge \(v_1v_3\) is not in \(C\). But the graph \(A + v_1v_3\) does not contain \((r + 1)P_3\). Thus, \(A = N_3\).

Consider the case when \(t = 2\). The argument above implies that, if \(G \in \text{SAT}(n, 2P_3)\) and \(G\) does not have a component isomorphic to \(N_3\), then the component of \(G\) containing the unique copy of \(P_3\) must have order less than 6 and would consequently be complete. But this forces \(|E(G)| > (n + 6)/2\), a contradiction. Thus Theorem 4.3 holds for \(t = 2\).

Consider the case when \(t = 3\). The argument above implies that, if \(G \in \text{SAT}(n, 3P_3)\) and \(G\) does not have a component isomorphic to \(N_3\), then the part of \(G\) containing copies of \(P_3\) must lie in a single connected component, \(A\), with between 9 and 11 vertices, such that \(|E(A)| > |V(A)|\). But \(|E(G)| < \lfloor \frac{n + 6t - 6}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{n + 12}{2} \rfloor\) only if \(|V(A)| = 9\) and \(|E(A)| = 10\). A case analysis shows that no such graph is \(3P_3\)-saturated. Thus Theorem 4.3 holds for \(t = 3\).

The lemmas from Section 2 will now be used to prove Theorem 4.4.

**Proof.** (Theorem 4.4) It is already known ([KT86]) and easily verified that \(\frac{n}{2}P_2 \in \text{SAT}(n, P_4)\) for \(n\) even and \(K_3 \cup \frac{n-3}{2} P_2 \in \text{SAT}(n, P_4)\) for \(n\) odd. If \(G \in \text{SAT}(n, P_4)\) has an isolated vertex, then all of the remaining components of
$G$ would have to have at least 3 vertices, and so $G$ would have at least $2(n - 1)/3$ edges. This implies the previously defined graphs are minimal and this takes care of the case $t = 1$.

Consider the case $t = 2$. It is straightforward to verify that $N_4$, and also $N_4^1$, is $2P_4$-saturated. Also $N_4 \cup \frac{n-12}{2} P_2 \in \text{SAT}(n, 2P_4)$ and $N_4^1 \cup \frac{n-13}{2} P_2 \in \text{SAT}(n, 2P_4)$ for $n$ even and odd respectively. Assume $G \in \text{SAT}(n, 2P_4)$. Let $H$ be the component of $G$ that contains a $P_3$. Since $G - H$ must be $P_4$-saturated, all vertices except at most one must have degree at least 1. If $H$ is complete, then $|H| \geq 6$, which would imply that $|E(G)| \geq 15 + (n - 6)/2 = (n + 24)/2$. Thus, we can assume that $H$ is not complete, and so $|H| \geq 8$, since the addition of any edge in $H$ will result in a $2P_4$. Thus, $G - H$ will be the disjoint union of independent edges if $|G - H|$ is even, and the disjoint union of a $K_4$ and independent edges if $|G - H|$ is odd. Lemma 2.3 implies that $H$ is not a tree, and so $|E(H)| \geq |H|$. Also, Lemma 2.1 implies that any vertex of degree 2 must be on a triangle.

We will first consider the case when $n$ is even. If $|H| = m \geq 12$, then $|E(G)| \geq m + \lfloor (n - m)/2 \rfloor \geq (n + 12)/2$, thus we can assume that $8 \leq |H| < 12$. More specifically, if $|H| = 11$, then $|E(G)| \geq 11 + 3(n - 14)/2 > (n + 12)/2$. If $|H| = 10$ and $|E(H)| \geq 11$, then $|E(G)| \geq 11 + (n - 10)/2 \geq (n + 12)/2$. Therefore, if $|H| = 10$, then $|E(H)| = 10$. However, Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7 imply that $H$ is not $2P_4$-saturated. If $|H| = 9$ and $|E(H)| \geq 9$, then $|E(G)| \geq 9 + 3(n - 12)/2 = (n + 12)/2$. Therefore, we can assume that $|H| = 8$.

If $|H| = 8$ and $|E(H)| \geq 10$, then $|E(G)| \geq 10 + (n - 8)/2 = (n + 12)/2$. Therefore, we can assume that $|H| = 8$ and $|E(H)| = 8$ or 9. Lemmas 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7 imply that $|E(H)| = 9$ and that the longest cycle $C$ in $H$ is a $C_5$. Assume that $|C| = 5$. If $C$ has no chords, then $H$ will contain a vertex of degree 2 not on a triangle, which contradicts Lemma 2.1. If $C$ contains a chord, then 2 of the remaining vertices in $H - C$ must be adjacent to the 2 vertices of degree 2 in $C$ not on a triangle. Any possibility for the adjacency of the remaining vertex of $H - C$ will result in a $2P_4$, a vertex of degree 2 not on a triangle, or 2 vertices of degree 1 adjacent to the same vertex. Thus, $H$ is not $2P_4$-saturated. If $|C| = 4$ and there is a chord $e$ of $C$ in $H$, then $H - \{E(C) \cup e\}$ is a forest. To avoid a vertex of degree 2 not on a triangle and 2 vertices of degree 1 adjacent to the same vertex, the remaining vertices of $H - C$ must be adjacent to distinct vertices of $C$, giving a $2P_4$. Thus, $H$ is not $2P_4$-saturated. If $|C| = 4$, and there is no chord in $C$, then a vertex in $H - C$ is adjacent to 2 nonconsecutive vertices of $C$ forming a $K_{2,3}$. Each of the 3 vertices of degree 2 in the $K_{2,3}$ will be incident to a pendant edge. It is easily checked, by adding a chord, that this graph $H$ is not $2P_4$-saturated. If $|C| = 3$, then $H$ contains either 2 vertex disjoint triangles $T_1$ and $T_2$ connected by
an edge, or the triangles share a vertex. In the case of vertex disjoint triangles, any choice of the remaining 2 edges will result in a 2$P_4$, a pair of vertices of degree 1 with a common adjacency, or 2 edges incident to distinct vertices of say $T_1$, with 1 of the these vertices incident to the edge between $T_1$ and $T_2$. It is easily checked that this last graph is not 2$P_4$-saturated. In the case of triangles sharing a vertex, any choice of the remaining 3 edges will result in a 2$P_4$, a pair of vertices of degree 1 with a common adjacency, or the 3 independent edges incident to the shared vertex of $T_1$ and $T_2$, and an additional vertex of each of the triangles. It is easily checked that this last graph is not 2$P_4$-saturated.

We now consider the case when $n$ is odd. If $|H| = m \geq 13$, then $|E(G)| \geq m + (n - m)/2 \geq (n + 13)/2$. Thus, we can assume that $8 \leq |H| \leq 12$. If $|H| = 12$, then $|E(G)| \geq 12 + 3 + (n - 15)/2 > (n + 13)/2$. If $|H| = 11$ and $|E(H)| \geq 12$, then $|E(G)| \geq 12 + (n - 11)/2 \geq (n + 13)/2$. Therefore, if $|H| = 11$, then $|E(H)| = 11$. However, Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7 imply that $H$ is not 2$P_4$-saturated. If $|H| = 10$ and $|E(H)| \geq 10$, then $|E(G)| \geq 10 + 3 + (n - 13)/2 = (n + 13)/2$. Next consider the case when $|H| = 8$. If $|E(H)| \geq 9$, then $|E(G)| \geq 9 + 3 + (n - 11)/2 = (n + 13)/2$. If $|E(H)| = 8$, then Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7 imply that $H$ is not 2$P_4$-saturated. The only case remaining is $|H| = 9$. If $|E(H)| \geq 10$, then $|E(G)| \geq (n + 13)/2$. If $|E(H)| = 9$, then Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7 imply that $H$ is not 2$P_4$-saturated. Finally, if $|E(H)| = 10$, Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7 imply that the longest cycle $C$ in $H$ is a $C_5$. A similar case analysis by cycle length shows that no such 2$P_4$-saturated graphs exist. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.4.

More can be said about saturation numbers involving $P_4$ if there are some copies of $P_2$ in the linear forest. Our next result illustrates this.

**Theorem 4.5.** For $n$ sufficiently large and $t \geq 0$,

\[ \text{sat}(n, tP_3 \cup 3P_2) = 3t + 6 \text{ and } (t + 2)K_3 \cup K_{n-3(t+2)} \in \text{SAT}(n, tP_3 \cup 3P_2). \]

**Proof.** Clearly for $t \geq 0$, $(t + 2)K_3 \cup K_{n-3(t+2)} \in \text{SAT}(n, tP_3 \cup 3P_2)$, and so $\text{sat}(n, tP_3 \cup 3P_2) \leq 3t + 6$. Let $G \in \text{SAT}(n, tP_3 \cup 3P_2)$. Let $H$ be the subgraph of $G$ of components with at least 3 vertices. So, $G = H \cup mK_2 \cup (n - 2m - |H|)K_1$, where $m \geq 0$.

We proceed by induction. If $t = 0$, then by Theorem 1.2, $\text{sat}(n, 3P_2) = 6$. If one of the components of $H$ is a $K_3$, then $G - K_3 \in \text{SAT}(n-3, (t-1)P_3 \cup 3P_2)$ and by induction $|E(G - K_3)| \geq 3(t - 1) + 6$, and the result follows. Thus it is sufficient assume no component of $G$ is a $K_3$ and produce a contradiction.
We will begin by establishing some properties of $H$. By Lemma 2.1, any vertex in $H$ of degree 1 will be adjacent to a vertex of degree at least 3. Also, if two vertices $u$ and $v$ of degree 1 are adjacent to a vertex $w$, then the addition of any edge $e$ between $w$ and an isolated vertices of $G$ will result in a $tP_3 \cup 3P_2$. Clearly, the edge $e$ can be replaced by one of $uw$ or $vw$, a contradiction. Thus, no two vertices of degree 1 in $H$ are adjacent to the same vertex. Thus, no component of $H$ is a tree and $|E(H)| \geq |V(H)|$.

Next we will show that any connected component of $H$, say $A$, such that $|E(A)| = |V(A)|$ must have a particular structure. Clearly $A$ must have a unique cycle, $C$. The same argument that implies that no component of $H$ is a tree also forces $A - E(C)$ to be a forest of $K_2$'s if $|V(C)| \geq 4$. If $|V(C)| = 3$, then $A - E(C)$ is a forest of $K_2$'s and $K_1$'s.

However, if $|V(C)| \geq 4$ and we label the vertices on the cycle $v_1, v_2, \ldots$, then $A + v_1v_3$ is not $rP_3 \cup sP_2$-saturated for any $r$ and $s$. Similiarly, if $|V(C)| = 3$ and $A - E(C)$ has only one or two $K_2$’s, the graph $A$ is not $(rP_3 \cup sP_2)$-saturated for any $r$ and $s$. Thus, $A = N_3$.

Now we split the argument into cases according to the value of $m$, the number of $K_2$’s in $G$.

If $m \geq 3$, then the addition of an edge $e$ between vertices in $(n - 2m - |H|)K_1$ should result in a $tP_3 \cup 3P_2$, but one of the edges in $3K_2$ could play that role, a contradiction. Hence, we can assume that $m < 3$.

If $m = 0$, then either $H$ is a complete graph with exactly $3t + 5$ vertices or it is not complete with at least $3t + 6$ vertices. Clearly in each of these cases, $|E(H)| \geq 3t + 6$.

If $m = 1$, then $|H| \geq 3t + 4$. If $H$ is complete, then $|E(G)| \geq \left(\frac{3t + 4}{2}\right) + 1 \geq 3t + 6$. If $H$ is not complete, then $|E(G)| \geq (3t + 5) + 1$, unless $|E(H)| = 4t + 4 = |V(H)|$. So $H$ is the disjoint union of components isomorphic to $N_3$. For $t = 1$, we see that the graph $N_3 \cup K_2$ is not $(3P_3 \cup 3P_2)$-saturated. If $t \geq 2$, then $H$ would have to contain $tN_3$. Therefore, $|E(G)| \geq 6t + 1 \geq 3t + 6$.

If $m = 2$, then the addition of an edge between the two independent edges of $G - H$ will result in a $P_3$, and so $H$ would have to contain at least $3(t - 1) + 6 = 3t + 3$ vertices. Thus, if $|E(H)| > |H|$, then $|E(G)| \geq (3t + 4) + 2$, so we can assume that $H$ would have to contain $tN_3$. For $t = 1$, the graph $N_3 \cup 2K_2$ already contains $P_3 \cup 3P_2$, and so is not saturated. If $t \geq 2$, then $H$ would have to contain
tN_3, and so |E(G)| \geq 6t + 2 \geq 3t + 6. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.5. \qed

The previous theorem has recently been generalized in [JF]

**Theorem 4.6.** For n sufficiently large and s \geq 3,

\[
\text{sat}(n, tP_3 \cup sP_2) = 3(s+t-1) \text{ and } (s+t-1)K_3 \cup \overline{K}_{n-3(s+t-1)} \in \text{SAT}(n, tP_3 \cup sP_2).
\]
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