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Recently there has been considerable interest in the concepts of degree sets and factorizations of graphs. In this paper we look into each of these ideas. Any definitions not provided here may be found in [1].

We begin by defining the concept of factors of a graph. A factor of a graph $G$ is a (possibly empty) spanning subgraph of $G$. If $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k$ are graphs such that $\forall (G_i) = \forall (G_j) = \ldots = \forall (G_k)$ and the edge sets are mutually disjoint, then the edge sum of the graphs $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k$ is the graph

$$G = G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus G_k,$$

where

$$\forall (G) = \forall (G_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, k,$$

and

$$E(G) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} E(G_i).$$

If the graph $G$ is expressed as the edge sum of its factors, then this edge sum is called a factorization of $G$.

The degree set $D$ of a graph $G$ is the set of degrees of the vertices of $G$. Kapoor et al. showed in [2] that if $D$ is a finite set of positive integers, then $D$ is the degree set of a graph $G$. Kapoor et al. [2] determined also the minimum order of such a graph. We now extend the concept of degree sets to factorizations of graphs. Let $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_k (k \geq 2)$ be a finite sequence of sets where each $D_i (1 \leq i \leq k)$ is a set of positive integers. Let $\mu(D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_k)$ denote the minimum order of any graph $G$ such that $G$ has a factorization $G = G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus G_k$, where the degree set of $G_i$ is $D_i (1 \leq i \leq k)$. The object of this paper is to determine $\mu(D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_k)$ for certain sequences of sets $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_k$.

In the process of finding $\mu(D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_k)$ it is convenient to be able to
construct graphs containing a specified number of edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles. The following lemma helps to produce such graphs.

Let $K_r$ denote the complete graph of order $r$ and let $\overline{G}$ be the complement of the graph $G$.

**Lemma.** Let $n \geq 3$. Then for each $k$, $0 \leq k \leq [(n-1)/2]$, there is a graph $G_n$ of order $n$ containing $k$ edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles which can be extended to $k$ edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles in $G_n + K_1$, $G_n + K_2$, and $G_n + K_3$.

**Proof.** To prove this lemma, we begin with the well-known result that for $n = 2k + 1$, $k \geq 1$, the complete graph $K_n$ contains $k$ edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles. In fact, we construct a set of $k$ such cycles. Since $n$ is odd, these cycles form a factorization of $K_n$. We then extend them to $k$ edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles of $K_{n+1}$. These cycles together with the 1-factor of those edges not used in the cycles form a factorization of $K_{n+1}$. We extend now the set of cycles thus obtained to $k$ edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles of $K_n + K_2$, which finally are extended to $k$ edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles of $K_n + K_3$. For any of these graphs, we can delete enough hamiltonian cycles so that the remaining graph has the proper number of such cycles.

If $k = 1$, the result is obvious. Thus we assume that $k \geq 2$, and let $V(G) = V(K_{2k+1}) = \{v_i, v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{2k-1}\}$.

For each $i$, $0 \leq i \leq k - 1$, define the edge set of the hamiltonian cycles $C_i$ of $K_n$ to be

$$E(C_i) = \{e_{v_i, v_{i+j}} \cup \{v_{i-j}, v_{i+j+1}, 0 \leq j \leq k-1\} \cup \{v_{i+j}, v_{i-j}, 1 \leq j \leq k-1\}
$$

where all subscripts are expressed modulo $2k$. Then each $C_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq k - 1$) is a hamiltonian cycle of $K_n$ and $K_n = K_{2k+1} = C_0 \oplus C_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus C_{k-1}$.

We now extend these $k$ edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles of $K_n = K_{2k+1}$ to those of $K_{2k+2} = K_{2k+1} + K_1$.

Let $V(K_1) = \{v_0\}$ and $G = K_{2k+1} + K_1$. If $i$ is odd and $0 \leq i \leq k - 1$, delete the edge $v_i v_{i+1}$ from $C_i$ and insert the edges $u_i v_i$ and $u_i v_{i+1}$ to obtain the hamiltonian cycle $C_i$ of the graph $G$. If $k$ and $i$ are even ($0 < i < k - 1$), delete the edge $v_i v_{i+k}$ from $C_i$ and insert the edges $u_i v_{i+k}$ and $u_i v_{i+k+1}$ to construct the hamiltonian cycle $C_i$. For $k$ even and $i = 0$, delete the edge $v_0 v_{k}$ and insert the edges $u_0 v_0$ and $u_0 v_k$ to obtain the hamiltonian cycle $C_0$.

On the other hand, for $k$ odd and $i$ even ($0 < i < k - 1$), delete the edge $v_i v_{i+k}$ from $C_i$ and insert the edges $u_i v_{i+k}$ and $u_i v_{i+k+1}$ to obtain the
hamiltonian cycle $C_{k,1}$. Let $F$ be the 1-factor of $G$ with $E(F) = E(G) - \bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} E(C_{k,i})$.

Then $G = C_{k,1} \oplus \ldots \oplus C_{k,1} \oplus F$. Thus, we have $k$ edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles $C_{i}$ $(0 \leq i \leq k-1)$ of $K_{2k+1}$, $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, $C_{k,1}$ of $G = K_{2k+1} + K_{2}$. Using this construction we can extend these $k$ edge disjoint cycles of $G = K_{2k+1} + K_{2}$ to those of $H = K_{2k+1} + K_{2}$, where $H$ is added to $G$ to obtain $H$. If $k$ is odd and 0 edge $v_{i+1}v_{i+1}$ from $C_{i}$ and insert the edges $u_{i}v_{i}$ and $u_{i+1}v_{i+1}$ to construct the hamiltonian cycle $C_{i,1}$ of $H$. If $k$ is even, then delete the edge $v_{i+1}v_{i+1}$ from $C_{i}$ and insert the edges $u_{i}v_{i}$ and $u_{i+1}v_{i+1}$ to construct the hamiltonian cycle $C_{i,1}$ of $H$. Then $H$ contains the $k$ edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles $C_{i,1}$, $0 \leq i \leq k-1$.

We use again the construction provided above to extend these $k$ edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles $C_{i,1}$ $(0 \leq i \leq k-1)$ of $H = K_{2k+1} + K_{2}$ to those of $H_{1} = K_{2k+1} + K_{2}$. Let $u_{i}$ be the vertex added to $H$ to obtain $H_{1}$. Let $r$ be the least integer greater than or equal to $k/2$ where $[x]$ is the least integer greater than or equal to $x$. For each edge $v_{i}v_{i+1}$ from $C_{i}$ and $H_{1}$ contains the $k$ edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles $C_{i,1}$, $0 \leq i \leq k-1$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Our first theorem provides $\mu(D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{k})$ when all $D_{i}$ are singleton sets.

**Theorem 1.** Let $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}$ be positive integers, let $s = \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{i}$, and let

$$\mu(D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{k}) = \begin{cases} s + 2 & \text{if } s \text{ is even, but some } d_{i} \text{ is odd,} \\ s + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** If a graph $G$ can be factored as $G = G_{1} \oplus G_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus G_{s}$, where the degree set of $G_{i}$ is $D_{i}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, then each of the graphs $G_{i}$ must be regular of degree $d_{i}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, and so $G$ must be regular of degree $s$. Hence $|V(G)| = s + 1$.

**Case 1.** Assume that $s$ is odd. In this case we have that there exists a graph $G$ such that $|V(G)| = s + 1$, and $G$ can be factored as $G = G_{1} \oplus G_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus G_{s}$, where the degree set of $G_{i}$ is $D_{i}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Since $s + 1$ is even, $K_{s+1}$ is 1-factorable and we define $G_{i}$ to consist of the edge sum of $d_{i}$ distinct 1-factors of $K_{s+1}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then $K_{s+1} = G_{i}$.
Case 2. Suppose that \( s \) is even and that each \( d_i \) is even. Then \( K_{s+1} \) is 2-factorable and contains \( s/2 \) disjoint hamiltonian cycles. Since each \( d_i \) is even, let \( G_i \) be the edge sum of exactly \( d_i/2 \) distinct hamiltonian cycles, \( 1 \leq i \leq k \). Then the degree set of \( G_i \) is \( D_i, 1 \leq i \leq k \), and \( G = K_{s+1} = G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_k \). Thus, \( \mu(D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_k) = s+1 \).

Case 3. Assume that \( s \) is even and that at least one \( d_i \), say \( d_j \), is odd. Then \( s+1 \) vertices cannot suffice, since the factor \( G_j \) would have odd order and would be regular of odd degree. Thus any such graph must have order at least \( s+2 \).

Since \( s+2 \) is even, \( K_{s+2} \) is 1-factorable and has \( s+1 \) distinct 1-factors. For each \( i, 1 \leq i \leq k \), let \( G_i \) be the edge sum of \( d_i \) distinct 1-factors of \( K_{s+2} \). Then we can write \( G = G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_k \), where the degree set of \( G_i \) is \( D_i \), and so \( \mu(D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_k) = s+2 \).

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

The determination of the numbers \( \mu(D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_k) \) appears to be an extremely difficult problem. The remainder of this paper deals with special cases. We shall prove the results in four propositions, four corollaries, and summarize them in a theorem.

**Proposition 1.** Let \( k, m, n \) be positive integers with \( m < n \), let \( D_1 = [m, n] \), and let \( D_2 = [2k] \). Then

\[
\mu(D_1, D_2) = n + 2k + 1.
\]

**Proof.** Suppose that \( G = G_1 \oplus G_2 \), where \( G_i \) has degree set \( D_i, i = 1, 2 \). Then \( G_2 \) is regular of degree \( 2k \) and \( G_1 \) has at least one vertex of degree \( n \), so that \( |V(G)| \geq n + 2k + 1 \). Thus any graph having the desired properties must have order at least \( n + 2k + 1 \). We now show that such a graph having order \( n + 2k + 1 \) always exists.

**Case 1.** Suppose that \( m \) is odd. Let \( H_1 = K_1 \). Since

\[
(m + 2k - 1)/2 \leq \left\lceil (n + 2k - 1)/2 \right\rceil
\]

and since \( K_{s+1} \) contains \( \left\lceil (n + 2k - 1)/2 \right\rceil \) edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles, the construction used in the Lemma implies that there is a graph \( H_2 \) of order \( n + 2k \), which is the edge sum of \( m + 2k - 1)/2 \) edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles, and that the graph \( G = H_1 + H_2 \) also contains \( m + 2k - 1)/2 \geq k \) edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles.

The vertex of \( H_1 \) has degree \( n + 2k \) in \( G \), while each vertex of \( H_2 \) has degree \( m + 2k \) in \( G \). Let \( G_2 \) be the edge sum of any \( k \) of the \( (m + 2k - 1)/2 \) hamiltonian cycles and let \( G_1 = G - E(G_2) \). Then \( G = G_1 \oplus G_2 \) and the degree set of \( G_i \) is \( D_i, i = 1, 2 \). Thus, in this case, \( \mu(D_1, D_2) = n + 2k + 1 \).
Case 2. Assume that \( m \) is even. Let \( H_1 = K_2 \). Let \( s = (m + 2k - 2)/2 \). Since \( s \leq [(n + 2k - 2)/2] \), the construction used in the Lemma implies that there is a graph \( H_2 \) of order \( n + 2k - 1 \) that is the edge sum of \( s \) edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles and such that \( G = H_1 + H_2 \) also contains \( s \) edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles. Further, each of the two vertices of \( H_1 \) has degree \( n + 2k \) in \( G \), while each vertex of \( H_2 \) has degree \( m + 2k \) in \( G \).

Let the graph \( G_2 \) be the edge sum of \( k \) of these \( s \) hamiltonian cycles and let \( G_1 = G - E(G_2) \). Then \( G = G_1 \oplus G_2 \) and the degree set of \( G_i \) is \( D_i \), \( i = 1, 2 \). Thus, in this case, \( \mu(D_1, D_2) = n + 2k + 1 \).

**Corollary 1.** Let \( d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_t, m, n \) be positive integers such that \( m < n \) and \( d = \sum_{i=1}^{t} d_i \). Let \( D_i = \{d_i\} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq t \) and let \( D_{t+1} = \{m, n\} \). If \( d \) is even and \( n \) is odd, then

\[
\mu(D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_{t+1}) = n + d + 1 .
\]

**Proof.** Let \( G = G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_{t+1} \) be a graph such that the degree set of \( G_i \) is \( D_i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq t+1 \). Then \( G \) must have order at least \( n + d + 1 \).

By the construction used in the proof of Proposition 1, let \( G = H \oplus G_{t+1} \), where \( G \) has order \( n + d + 1 \), \( H \) is the edge sum of \( d/2 \) hamiltonian cycles of \( G \), and \( G_{t+1} \) has degree set \( D_{t+1} \). Since \( H \) is the edge sum of \( d/2 \) edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles of \( G \) and \( G \) has even order, we can write \( H \) as the edge sum of \( d \) 1-factors of \( G \) (by dividing each hamiltonian cycle into two 1-factors). Then, let \( G_i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq t \), be the edge sum of \( d_i \) of these 1-factors. Therefore, \( G_i \) is the regular graph of degree \( d_i \) for each \( i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq t \). Thus

\[
G = G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_t \oplus G_{t+1} ,
\]

where the degree set of \( G_i \) is \( D_i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq t+1 \).

**Proposition 2.** Let \( k, m, n \) be positive integers with \( n \) odd and \( m < n \). Let \( D_1 = \{m, n\} \) and \( D_2 = \{2k-1\} \). Then (1) holds true.

**Proof.** As before, any graph \( G \) having the desired properties must have order at least \( n + (2k - 1) + 1 = n + 2k \). However, if \( G = G_1 \oplus G_2 \), where the degree set of \( G_i \) is \( D_i \), \( i = 1, 2 \), and \( |V(G_i)| = n + 2k \), then the factor \( G_2 \) would be a regular graph of degree \( 2k - 1 \) and \( G_2 \) would have odd \( (n + 2k) \) order. Since this is impossible, any graph having the desired properties must have order at least \( n + 2k + 1 \). We now show that such a graph exists.

By the construction used in Proposition 1, there exists a graph \( G \) of order \( n + 2k + 1 \) such that \( G = G_1 \oplus G_2 \), where \( G_2 \) is the edge sum of \( k \) edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles of \( G \), the degree set of \( G_1 \) is \( D_1 \), and the degree set of \( G_2 \) is \( \{2k\} \). Since \( n + 2k + 1 \) is even, let \( F \) be the 1-factor of \( G_2 \) obtained by deleting every other edge of one of the hamiltonian cycles of \( G_2 \). Put \( G_2^* = G_2 - E(F) \).
The graph $G^* = G_1 \oplus G_2^*$ has order $n + 2k + 1$ and $G_1$ has degree set $D_1$, while the degree set of $G_2^*$ is $D_2$. Thus there is a graph with the desired properties.

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.

**Corollary 2a.** Let $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_t, m, n$ be positive integers such that $m < n$ and $d = \sum_{i=1}^{t} d_i$. Let $D_i = \{d_i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and let $D_{t+1} = \{m, n\}$. If $d$ and $n$ are both odd, then

$$\mu(D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_{t+1}) = n + d + 2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

**Proof.** Assume that $G = G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus G_{t+1}$ is a graph of order $n + d + 1$ with the degree set of $G_i$, being $D_i$, $1 \leq i \leq t+1$. The graph $H = G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus G_i$ is a regular graph of degree $d$ with odd order. Since $d$ odd, this is impossible. Hence the order of $G$ must be at least $n + d + 2$.

The construction of Proposition 2 provides a graph $G = H \oplus G_{t+1}$ of order $n + d + 2$ such that $H$ is the edge sum of $(d-1)/2$ edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles and a 1-factor. Since the order of $H$ is even, $H$ is the edge sum of $d$ 1-factors. Let $G_i$, $1 \leq i \leq t$, be the edge sum of $d_i$ of these 1-factors. Then $G_i$, $1 \leq i \leq t$, is regular of degree $d_i$ and has order $n + d + 2$. Thus $G = G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus G_{t+1}$, where the degree set of $G_i$ is $D_i$, $1 \leq i \leq t+1$.

**Corollary 2b.** Let $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_t, m, n$ be positive integers such that $m < n$ and $d = \sum_{i=1}^{t} d_i$. If $d$ and $n$ are both even but at least one of the $d_i$'s is odd, then (3) holds true.

**Proof.** Assume that $G = G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus G_{t+1}$ is a graph of order $n + d + 1$ with the degree set of $G_i$, being $D_i$, $1 \leq i \leq t+1$. Suppose that $d_i$ is odd. Then $G_i$ is a regular graph of degree $d_i$ with order $n + d + 1$. Since both $d_i$ and $n + d + 1$ are odd, this is impossible. Thus, the order of $G$ must be at least $n + d + 2$.

The construction of Proposition 2 provides a graph $G = H \oplus G_{t+1}$ of order $n + d + 2$ such that $H$ is the edge sum of $d/2$ edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles and the degree set of $G_{t+1}$ is $D_{t+1}$. Thus, since $n + d + 2$ is even, $H$ is the edge sum of $d$ edge disjoint 1-factors of $G$. For each $i$, $1 \leq i \leq t$, let $G_i$ be the edge sum of $d_i$ of these edge disjoint 1-factors. Then $G = G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus G_t \oplus G_{t+1}$, where $G$ has order $n + d + 2$, and $G_i$ has the degree set $D_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq t+1$.

**Proposition 3.** Let $k$, $m$, $n$ be positive integers with $n$ even, $1 < m < n$, and $1 < k$. Let $D_1 = \{m, n\}$ and $D_2 = \{2k-1\}$. Then

$$\mu(D_1, D_2) = n + 2k.$$  

**Proof.** If $G$ is any graph having these properties, then $|V(G)| = n + (2k-1) + 1 = n + 2k$. 


We now show that such a graph exists.

Case 1. Assume that $m$ is odd (that is, $m \geq 3$). Let $H_1 = K_2$. By the proof of the Lemma, we can construct a graph $H_2$ of order $n + 2k - 2$, that is, the edge sum of $(m+2k-3)/2$ edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles, such that $G = H_1 + H_2$ also contains $(m+2k-3)/2$ edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles.

Each vertex of $H_1$ has degree $n+2k-1$ in $G$, while each vertex of $H_2$ has degree $m+2k-1$ in $G$. Since $G$ contains $(m+2k-1)/2 \geq 1$ hamiltonian cycles and $G$ has even order, we can construct a 1-factor $F$ in $G$. Let $G_1$ be the edge sum of $F$ and $k - 1$ hamiltonian cycles of $G$ and let $G_1 = G - E(G_2)$. Then $G = G_1 \oplus G_2$, the degree set of $G_i$ is $D_i$, $i = 1, 2$, and $G$ has order $n+2k$.

Case 2. Suppose that $m$ is even. As before, any such graph must have order at least $n+2k$. Let $H_1 = K_2$. Using the proof of the Lemma, we can construct a graph $H_2^*$ of order $n+2k-2$, that is, the edge sum of $(m+2k-2)/2$ hamiltonian cycles, such that the graph $G^* = H_1 + H_2^*$ also contains $m+2k-2$ edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles. Since $n+2k-2$ is even, we can construct a 1-factor $F^*$ in $H_2^*$ by choosing every other edge of some hamiltonian cycle.

Let $H_1 = H_2^* - E(F^*)$ and $G = H_1 + H_2$. Each vertex of $H_1$ has degree $n+2k-1$ in $G$, while each vertex of $H_2$ has degree $m+2k-1$ in $G$. Let $F$ be the complement of $F^*$ with respect to the hamiltonian cycle used to define $F^*$. Then $F$ is also a 1-factor of $H_2^*$ and $H_2$.

Let $G_2$ be the edge sum of $k - 1$ hamiltonian cycles of $G$ (other than the one used to define $F$) together with $F$ and the edges of $H_1$. Then each vertex of $G_2$ has degree $2k-1$. Let $G_1 = G - E(G_2)$. Then each vertex of $H_1$ has degree $n$ in $G_1$, while each vertex of $H_2$ has degree $m$ in $G_1$. Let $G = G_1 \oplus G_2$. Then $G$ has order $n+2k$ and the degree set of $G_i$ is $D_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Thus $\mu(D_1, D_2) = n+2k$.

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.

**Corollary 3.** Let $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_i, m, n$ be positive integers with $1 < m < n$ and $d = \sum_{i=1}^{t} d_i$. Let $D_i = \{d_i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and let $D_{t+1} = \{m, n\}$. If $d \geq 3, d$ is odd, and $n$ is even, then (2) holds true.

**Proof.** By the construction used in the proof of Proposition 3, there is a graph $G$ of order $n+d+1$ with $G = H \oplus G_{t+1}$, where $H$ is the edge sum of $(d-1)/2$ edge disjoint hamiltonian cycles and a 1-factor, and the degree set of $G_{t+1}$ is $D_{t+1}$. Since $n+d+1$ is even, $H$ is the edge sum of $d$ edge disjoint 1-factors. For each $i$, $1 \leq i \leq t$, let $G_i$ be the edge sum of $d_i$ of these 1-factors of $G$. Then we write $G = G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus G_{t+1}$, where the degree set of $G_i$ is $D_i$, $1 \leq i \leq t+1$.

We now consider the last case, namely, where $D_1 = \{1, n\}$, $n$ is an even positive integer, and $D_2 = \{1\}$. 

Proposition 4. Let $D_1 = [1, n]$, where $n$ is a positive even integer, and let $D_2 = \{1\}$. Then

$$\mu(D_1, D_2) = \begin{cases} 
4 & \text{if } n = 2, \\
4 + n & \text{if } n \geq 4.
\end{cases}$$

Proof. The graph $K_n - e$, the complete graph of order 4 with an edge removed, provides an example to show that $\mu([1], \{1, 2\}) = 4$. Thus, we assume that $n \geq 4$.

As before, if $G$ is any graph with these properties, then $G$ must have order at least $n+2$. Suppose $G$ is a graph such that $G = G_1 \oplus G_2$, where $G_1$ has order $n+2$, and $G_i$ has degree set $D_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Then $G_1$ is a graph of order $n+2$ with degree set $D_1 = \{1, n\}$. Clearly, this is not possible. Thus, $G$ must have order at least $n+3$. However, then $G_2$, which has odd order, must have a 1-factor. This is again impossible, and so $G$ must have order at least $n+4$. We now construct such a graph.

Let $H = K_{n+2}$ and let $\mathcal{V}(H) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n+2}\}$. Define the graph $G$ by letting

$$\mathcal{V}(G) = \mathcal{V}(H) \cup \{u, w\} \quad \text{and} \quad E(G) = E(H) \cup \{v_1, v_2\} \cup \{v_1, u, uw, vw_2\}.$$  

Then $G$ has order $n+4$, the degree of each vertex $v_i$ is $n+1$, and the degrees of $u$ and $w$ are 2. Since $n$ is even, let $G_2$ be the 1-factor consisting of $uw, v_2v_3, v_4v_5, \ldots, v_{n-1}v_n, v_{n+2}v_1$. Let $G_1 = G - E(G_2)$. Then the degree set of $G_1$ is $D_1 = \{1, n\}$, while the degree set of $G_2$ is $D_2 = \{1\}$. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.

We now summarize the results of the propositions and corollaries in the following

Theorem 2. Let $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_t, m, n$ be positive integers such that $m < n$ and $d = \sum_{i=1}^t d_i$. Let $D_i = \{d_i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and let $D_{t+1} = \{m, n\}$. Then

$$\mu(D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_{t+1}) = \begin{cases} 
4 & \text{if } t = 1, d_1 = m = 1, \text{ and } n = 2, \\
4 + n & \text{if } t = 1, d_1 = m = 1, \text{ is even, and } n \geq 4, \\
4 + n & \text{if } n \text{ and } d \text{ have opposite parity, } d \geq 3, \text{ and } m > 1, \\
4 + n & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and all of the } d_i's \text{ are even}, \\
4 + n & \text{if } n \text{ and } d \text{ are both even and at least one } d_i \text{ is odd,} \\
4 + n & \text{if } n \text{ and } d \text{ are both odd}. 
\end{cases}$$

We conclude with two conjectures:

Conjecture 1 (P 1277). Let $n \geq 3$. Then for each $k$, $0 \leq k \leq [(n-1)/2]$, there is a graph $G_k$ of order $n$ such that $G_k$ contains $k$ edge disjoint
Hamiltonian cycles and these $k$ Hamiltonian cycles can be extended to $k$ edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles in $G+E$.

**Conjecture 2 (P 1278).** Let $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_t$ be positive integers such that $n_1 < n_2 < \ldots < n_t$. Let $D_1 = \{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_t\}$ and $D_2 = [k]$. Then

$$
\mu(D_1, D_2) = \begin{cases} 
4 & \text{if } t = 2, \quad n_1 = k = 1, \quad \text{and } n_2 = 2, \\
n_2 + 4 & \text{if } t = 2, \quad n_1 = k = 1, \quad n_2 \text{ is even and } n_2 \geq 4, \\
n_1 + k + 2 & \text{if both } n_1 \text{ and } k \text{ are odd}, \\
n_1 + k + 1 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
$$
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