**Evaluation of the Instructor**

How well organized was the class?

Was the instructor enthusiastic about the material?

How concerned was the instructor with what students learned from the course?

How clearly did instructor explain specific concepts relevant to the course?

How clearly did instructor communicate course objectives and requirements?

How well did the instructor respond to the students' questions?

How accessible was the instructor for individual discussion about the course?

How well did the exams and/or assignments reflect the course material?

Was feedback on exams, papers, performance useful?

Were the grading criteria for the course clear?

How much did the course promote your progress on these learning objectives?

Acquiring factual knowledge.

Understanding basic principles and concepts.

Being able to apply facts, concepts, and principles to specific questions.

Being able to assess or critique ideas and arguments.

Being able to integrate and synthesize information.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Expected Grade</th>
<th>Major</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Year</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Year</td>
<td>A-</td>
<td>Computer Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Year</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>Economics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth Year +</td>
<td>B-</td>
<td>Economics &amp; Mathematics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>B-</td>
<td>Human Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Degree</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>Mathematics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unreported/Unreadable</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Political Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Unreported/Unreadable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent Classes Missed</th>
<th>1-5%</th>
<th>6-10%</th>
<th>11-15%</th>
<th>16-20%</th>
<th>21-25%</th>
<th>26-30%</th>
<th>31-40%</th>
<th>41-50%</th>
<th>51-60%</th>
<th>61-80%</th>
<th>81-99%</th>
<th>Unreported/Unreadable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation of the Instructor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How well organized was the class?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How concerned was the instructor with what students learned from the course?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How clearly did instructor explain specific concepts relevant to the course?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How clearly did instructor communicate course objectives and requirements?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How well did the instructor respond to the students' questions?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How accessible was the instructor for individual discussion about the course?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How well did the exams and/or assignments reflect the course material?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was feedback on exams, papers, performance useful?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were the grading criteria for the course clear?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weighted Mean (* Omitted from computation) 8.48

How much did the course promote your progress on these learning objectives?

| Acquiring factual knowledge. | 1    | 1     | 5     | 4     | 9      | 20     | 7.75   |
| Understanding basic principles and concepts. | 1    | 3     | 5     | 11    | 20     | 8.15   |
| Being able to apply facts, concepts, and principles to specific questions. | 1    | 1     | 2     | 10    | 1      | 20     | 8.00   |
| Being able to assess or critique ideas and arguments. | 1    | 2     | 10    | 3     | 20     | 8.29   |
| Being able to integrate and synthesize information. | 1    | 7     | 11    | 1     | 20     | 8.53   |

Weighted Mean (* Omitted from computation) 8.14

Overall Weighted Mean 8.37
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Course Evaluation Form
Fall 2015

Course: MATH 112 002        Instructor: Reed Gordon-Sarney

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course:

Strengths are it makes you think sharply and critically as possible to solve problems.
Weaknesses are the same as strengths. In addition to that, if you don't know pre-requisites, then you have hard time following.

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the instructor:
He is very good at communicating with students via email. Weakness is his fashion.

Would you recommend this course or instructor to another student? Why or why not?

Yes!
Course: MATH 112 002        Instructor: Reed Gordon-Sarney

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course:

Fine with the course not much to say

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the instructor:

I usually don't go out of my way to say how good an instructor was but Reed is probably should be one of the best Calc teachers I've had. He's very thorough, goes step by step and answers every question earnestly even the stupid questions.

Would you recommend this course or instructor to another student? Why or why not?

Yes, very few calc teachers do a good job of relating to the learning capabilities of non-math major students and Reed does a fantastic job.
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
Course Evaluation Form  
Fall 2015

Course: MATH 112 002  
Instructor: Reed Gordon-Sarney

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course:
I think it's an organized class, sometimes the topics are a little random and don't exactly go hand in hand.

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the instructor:
I really thought he was a great professor

Would you recommend this course or instructor to another student? Why or why not?
I would - great professor and makes calculus fun.
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
Course Evaluation Form  
Fall 2015

Course: MATH 112 002     Instructor: Reed Gordon-Sarney

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course:
This course is pretty hard in general,
but it is a basic step to harder classes.
The instructor makes this class better.

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the instructor:
He is very enthusiastic, always ready to teach,
and sometimes very funny. He is a great instructor in general.

Would you recommend this course or instructor to another student?  
Why or why not?
Yes, he knows what he's doing and even though his tests seem hard, it's sort of doable and because he always motivates his students.
Course: MATH 112 002       Instructor: Reed Gordon-Sarney

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course:

Strengths: builds your intuition / logical thinking skill.
Weaknesses: 

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the instructor:

Strengths: smart, good in explaining.
Weaknesses: 

Would you recommend this course or instructor to another student? Why or why not?

Yes, you will be ready for more advanced math course.
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Course Evaluation Form
Fall 2015

Course: MATH 112 002           Instructor: Reed Gordon-Sarney

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course:
Strengths-built off of course

Weaknesses-hard to understand how all topics worked together,
Book/course material didn't always match up very much-

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the instructor:
Strengths- well organized, helpful, explained material in clear, accessible way

Maybe hw/problems could be closer to what we were tested on...

Would you recommend this course or instructor to another student?
Why or why not?  

Yes
Course: MATH 112 002       Instructor: Reed Gordon-Sarney

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course:
well taught, interesting content. hard.

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the instructor:
did a great job. no complaints, tough under our curves

Would you recommend this course or instructor to another student? Why or why not?
Yes definitely. Great lecturer.
Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course:

great calc 2 class

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the instructor:

very great calc 2 teacher highly enjoyed

Would you recommend this course or instructor to another student? Why or why not?

Definitely. Take this course for fun.
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Course Evaluation Form
Fall 2015

Course: MATH 112 002      Instructor: Reed Gordon-Sarney

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course:

Instructor made material very accessible and intuitive. Should help more with hands-on problem & practice, not just theory/principles

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the instructor:

Enthusiastic and good teaching skills

Would you recommend this course or instructor to another student? Why or why not?

I would recommend the instructor because he did a good job
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Course Evaluation Form
Fall 2015

Course: MATH 112 002  Instructor: Reed Gordon-Sarney

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course:

It goes more in-depth on concepts covered in Calc 1.
However, some of the things (e.g., polar coordinates) doesn't seem to relate to calculus itself.

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the instructor:

The instructor explains concepts in a simple manner using examples.

However, the midterm seems a little bit too hard.
Requires more time than allocated.

Would you recommend this course or instructor to another student? Why or why not?

Yes, I'm more interested in MATH 113.
Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course:

The material is not inspiring and I don't see a valuable application for it in what I want to do.

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the instructor:

Instructor was great! Very enthusiastic and available for office hours.

Would you recommend this course or instructor to another student? Why or why not?

The instructor yes the course no
Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course:

All the different sections vary in difficulty which is really annoying.

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the instructor:

Really enthusiastic and incredibly knowledgeable; his enthusiasm makes what I consider a super boring class somewhat interesting.

Would you recommend this course or instructor to another student? Why or why not?

I would only recommend it if you need it because it's math (not fun). I'd recommend Reed because he's great.
Course: MATH 112 002        Instructor: Reed Gordon-Sarney

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course:

Strengths: Prof. did best he could to engage in about material that itself easy to get excited about

Weaknesses: Textbook does not have wide enough range of questions

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the instructor:

Great! Only bright spot of very difficult course

Would you recommend this course or instructor to another student? Why or why not?

Yes
Course: MATH 112 002    Instructor: Reed Gordon-Sarney

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course:

Teacher is good and enthusiastic.

But quizzes and exams are a little bit difficult.

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the instructor:

Course is great.

Would you recommend this course or instructor to another student? Why or why not?

Yes.
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Course Evaluation Form
Fall 2015

Course: MATH 112 002  Instructor: Reed Gordon-Sarney

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course:

Strength
- Interesting

Weakness
- Quiz every week
- A lot of exams not spaced out

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the instructor:

Strengths
- Engaging
- Helpful
- Explains concepts

Weakness
- Not flexible wi quizzes

Would you recommend this course or instructor to another student? Why or why not?

Yes instructor if course is necessary
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Course Evaluation Form
Fall 2015

Course: MATH 112 002  Instructor: Reed Gordon-Sarney

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course:

I don't see why we learn what we use, because compared to Calc 1, it doesn't follow any route.

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the instructor:

Nothing I can think of.

Would you recommend this course or instructor to another student? Why or why not?

No

Definitely
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Course Evaluation Form
Fall 2015

Course: MATH 112 002    Instructor: Reed Gordon-Sarney

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course:

STRENGTHS
- teacher

WEAKNESSES
This course should NOT be required for econ majors

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the instructor:

No weaknesses
- very positive
- very insightful
- always available

Would you recommend this course or instructor to another student? Why or why not?
No, because the material is useless in real life.
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Course Evaluation Form
Fall 2015

Course: MATH 112 002      Instructor: Reed Gordon-Sarney

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course:

    No strengths, it's math.
    Thought teacher made it as easy as possible.

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the instructor:

    Really positive, pretty energetic.
    Happy to answer questions.

Would you recommend this course or instructor to another student? Why or why not?

    No, but hearing to take it for a prerequisite.
    He was probably the best case scenario for a math teacher.

    I can't draw a bar graph, but I'd give him two.
Course: MATH 112 002        Instructor: Reed Gordon-Sarney

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course:
Annoying (Haha)
Same as Calc 1, different instructors all have different styles and different levels of hardiness. That's not fair.

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the instructor:
I love Reed 😊
He is very straightforward and nice.

Would you recommend this course or instructor to another student? Why or why not?
I don't recommend the class but the instructor.
He is the best instructors of Math 112 this semester in my opinion. (I happened to listen to other instructors' classes)
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
Course Evaluation Form  
Fall 2015

Course: MATH 112 002        Instructor: Reed Gordon-Sarney

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course:

weaknesses: difficult requirement class

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the instructor:

strengths: very enthusiastic about material and fair grader

Would you recommend this course or instructor to another student? Why or why not?  
↑  ↑  yes.  
only if required/ passionate