DIGIT SUMS AND GENERATING FUNCTIONS

MAXWELL SCHNEIDER AND ROBERT SCHNEIDER

Abstract. We connect a primitive operation from arithmetic — adding together the digits of a whole number — to $q$-series generating functions from number theory. We also discuss digit sum Lambert series and Dirichlet series generating functions.

1. Computing the digit sum

For $B \geq 2$, let $s_B(a)$ denote the digit sum of a base-$B$ integer $a \geq 0$; for example, in base ten we have $s_{10}(73) = 7 + 3 = 10$. Digit sums are interesting arithmetic objects, making numerous connections in number theory and combinatorics (see, for instance, [1, 4, 6, 8, 7, 9, 10]). In this paper we apply $q$-series techniques to derive generating functions related to digit sums, and we prove other number-theoretic formulas.

G. E. Andrews gives it as an exercise in [2] (p. 64, #7) to prove for $B = 10$ that
\begin{equation}
    s_B(a) \equiv a \pmod{B - 1},
\end{equation}
which of course holds true for any base $B \geq 2$. Here we utilize exact identities analogous to congruence (1), but involving a “correction” term $\hat{c}_B$ to explicitly keep track of information about carried numbers (or “carries”) from the traditional addition algorithm.

We consider digit sums of the form $s_B(a_1 + a_2 + \ldots + a_r)$ for positive integers $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r$, written in base $B$. Let $c_B(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r)$ denote the base-$B$ carry sum, the sum of all carried numbers produced in computing $a_1 + a_2 + \ldots + a_r$ by the traditional addition algorithm.

Then in the case $r = 2$ (a sum of two integers), careful accounting of the steps of the addition algorithm produces the following identity, which the reader can readily verify.

Proposition 1. For base-$B$ integers $a, b \geq 0$, the digit sum of $a + b$ is given by
\[ s_B(a + b) = s_B(a) + s_B(b) - \hat{c}_B(a, b) \]
where $\hat{c}_B(a, b) = (B - 1)c_B(a, b)$.

We note the above proposition suggests a recursion relation. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, write
\[ s_B(n) = s_B(n - k) + s_B(k) - \hat{c}_B(n - k, k) \]
\[ = s_B(n - 2k) + 2s_B(k) - \hat{c}_B(n - k, k) - \hat{c}_B(n - 2k, k) \]
\[ = s_B(n - 3k) + 3s_B(k) - \hat{c}_B(n - k, k) - \hat{c}_B(n - 2k, k) - \hat{c}_B(n - 3k, k) = \ldots. \]

Following this process through to the final, $\lfloor n/k \rfloor$th stage (where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the floor function of $x \in \mathbb{R}$) proves a family of identities for $s_B$ depending on the choice of $k$.

Corollary 2. For $1 \leq k \leq n$ we can write the base-$B$ digit sum as
\[ s_B(n) = s_B \left( n - k \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \right\rfloor \right) + s_B(k) \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \right\rfloor - \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \hat{c}_B(n - ik, k). \]

1Proposition 1 is equivalent to Theorem 2 in [4].
It also follows from the addition algorithm that we can extend Proposition 1 to the case of a sum of \( r > 2 \) nonnegative base-\( B \) integers \( a_1, a_2, ..., a_r \). However, the generalization of the correction term \( \hat{c}_B \) is more complicated than just \((B-1)c_B\) when there are more than two summands, as we must keep up with carried numbers greater than 1, which can yield arbitrarily large contributions to the digit sum. To this end, let us set

\[
\hat{c}_B(a_1, a_2, ..., a_r) := \beta - s_B(\beta) + (B-1)c_B(a_1, a_2, ..., a_r),
\]

in which \( \beta \) denotes the terminal carry arising in the addition algorithm, the carried number left over from the sum of the left-most column of digits, which in turn comprises the left-most digits of the base-\( B \) integer \( a_1 + a_2 + ... + a_r \).

**Theorem 3.** For base-\( B \) integers \( a_i \geq 0 \), the digit sum of \( a_1 + a_2 + ... + a_r \) is given by

\[
s_B \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} s_B(a_i) - \hat{c}_B(a_1, a_2, ..., a_r).
\]

**Proof.** The term \( \hat{c}_B \) is defined in (2) precisely to make the statement true: the roles of the \( s_B(a_i) \) and carry sum \((B-1)c_B\) arise naturally from the addition algorithm as in Proposition 1, but an additional copy of the carry \( \beta \) from the left-most column of the algorithm must be subtracted as it is “brought down” to form the left-most digits of the resulting sum; thus \( s_B(\beta) \) contributes to the digit sum as well. \( \square \)

Note by comparison to (1) that this generalized correction term still has the property

\[
\hat{c}_B(a_1, a_2, ..., a_r) \equiv 0 \pmod{B-1}.
\]

Using Theorem 3 in the case that all \( a_i \)'s are equal, we can also find the digit sum of a **product** of two numbers. To state the result concisely, let us define

\[
\hat{c}_B(\{a\}^b) := \hat{c}_B(a, a, ..., a)
\]

for the correction term when computing \( a + a + a + ... + a \) (\( b \) times).

**Corollary 4.** For base-\( B \) integers \( a, b \geq 0 \), the digit sum of the product \( ab \) is given by

\[
s_B(ab) = b \cdot s_B(a) - \hat{c}_B(\{a\}^b).
\]

Comparison to Corollary 2 \( (n = ab, k = a) \) gives \( \hat{c}_B(\{a\}^b) \) via simpler correction terms:

\[
\hat{c}_B(\{a\}^b) = \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} \hat{c}_B(a, j).
\]

We note for \( 1 \leq a \leq B - 1 \) the carry sum \( c_B(a, a, ..., a) \) (\( b \) repetitions) has the value

\[
c_B(a, a, ..., a) = \lfloor ab/B \rfloor.
\]

In fact, by the addition algorithm the above identities extend further, to sums of non-negative rational numbers \( a, b, a_i \), etc., having terminating base-\( B \) decimal expansions.
2. Digit sums and \( q \)-series

It is natural to ask how digit sums connect to the theory of integer partitions — after all, digit sums represent weak integer combinations with parts strictly less than \( B \), up to ending zeroes — and to the related study of \( q \)-series generating functions (see [3] for more on these topics), where we take \( q \in \mathbb{C}, |q| < 1 \), as usual. In recent work, Mansour-Nguyen [6] prove finite \( q \)-series involving \( s_2(n) \) closely related to the \( q \)-binomial theorem\(^2\), and Vignat-Wakhare [10] give a number of interesting base-\( B \) generating function relations.

We are interested in product-sum generating functions of the \( q \)-hypergeometric variety (see [5]). Classical \( q \)-series methods yield a nice two-variable digit sum generating function.

**Theorem 5.** We have for \( B \geq 2 \) and \( z \in \mathbb{C}, |q| < 1 \), that

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n z^{s_B(n)} = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1-z^B q^{i+1}}{1-zq^i}.
\]

**Proof.** It is evident by direct expansion of the right-hand product below for \( f: \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{C} \) with \( f(0):=1 \), that we have a generating function for the unique partition of each \( n \geq 1 \) into distinct parts of the shape \( mB^i \) for \( 1 \leq m \leq B-1 \), where no two parts have the same exponent \( i \geq 0 \) of \( B \), i.e., a generating function for the base-\( B \) expansion of \( n \):

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n \prod_{\text{digits } \nu_i \text{ of } n} f(\nu_i) = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( 1 + f(1)q^{B^i} + f(2)q^{2B^i} + \ldots + f(B-1)q^{(B-1)B^i} \right),
\]

in which the product on the left is taken over the base-\( B \) digits \( \nu_i \) of \( n \) (i.e., \( \nu_i \leq B-1 \) is the nonnegative coefficient of \( B^i \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \log_B n \rfloor \)). Taking \( f(i) = z^i \), then recognizing the terms of the right-hand product as finite geometric series, gives Theorem 5. \( \square \)

Note as \( s_B(n) = n \) when \( n < B \), both sides of Theorem 5 approach \( (1-zq)^{-1} \) as \( B \to \infty \); similarly, setting \( z=1 \) reduces both sides to \( (1-q)^{-1} \). Furthermore, one observes that taking \( q \mapsto q^{B^j}, j \geq 0 \), effectively cancels the first \( j \) terms of the product:

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n z^{s_B(n)} = \prod_{i=j}^{\infty} \frac{1-z^B q^{i+1}}{1-zq^i}.
\]

In fact (8) holds for any finite \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \), not just \( j \geq 0 \). We observe in passing that the product on the right-hand side of Theorem 5 can also be expressed as the following \( q \)-series.

**Theorem 6.** We have for \( B \geq 2 \) and \( z \in \mathbb{C}, |q| < 1 \), that

\[
\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1-z^B q^{i+1}}{1-zq^i} = \frac{1}{1-zq} + \frac{z-z^B}{1-z^B q} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^n \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( 1-z^B q^j \right) \prod_{j=0}^{n} \left( 1-z q^j \right).
\]

**Proof.** We multiply the product on the left side by \( (1-z^B q) \) and expand the result as a telescoping series, which we write in this form:

\[
\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1-z^B q^{i+1}}{1-zq^i} = \frac{1-z^B q}{1-zq} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=0}^{n} \left( 1-z^B q^j \right) \left( \frac{1-z^B q^{n+1}}{1-zq^{n+1}} - 1 \right).
\]

\(^2\)For example, summing both sides of Theorem 3 in [6] over \( 0 \leq k \leq N \) and letting \( N \to \infty \) gives a digit sum interpretation of the identity \( \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( 1-q^n \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k(k-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left( 1-q^i \right)^{-1} = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( 1+q^k \right). \)

\(^3\)Thus the case \( f(i) = i \) is the generating function for the product of the nonzero digits of \( n \).
Simplifying the summands on the right-hand side, then dividing both sides of the equation by \((1 - z^B q)\) and adjusting indices appropriately, completes the proof. \(\square\)

Squaring both sides of Theorem 5 leads to another nice two-variable identity.

**Corollary 7.** We have for \(B \geq 2\) and \(z \in \mathbb{C}, |q| < 1\), that

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n z^B(n) \sum_{k=0}^{n} z^\tilde{c}_B(n-k,k) = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1 - z^B q^{B^i+1}}{1 - zq^{B^i}} \right)^2.
\]

**Proof.** After we square both sides of Theorem 5, the corollary results from applying the Cauchy product formula on the left-hand side in light of Proposition 1:

\[
\left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n z^B(n) \right)^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n \sum_{k=0}^{n} z^{s_B(n-k)+s_B(k)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n \sum_{k=0}^{n} z^{s_B(n)+\tilde{c}_B(n-k,k)}.
\]

\(\square\)

To give a \(q\)-series generating function for \(s_B(n)\), we first introduce an auxiliary series \(L_B(q)\) that represents a base-\(B\) variant of classical Lambert series for \(|q| < 1\):

\[
L_B(q) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{B^i}}{1 - q^{B^i}}.
\]

Clearly \(L_B(q) \to 0\) as \(B \to \infty\). As in (8), taking \(q \mapsto q^{B^j}\) effectively shifts the indices:

\[
L_B(q^{B^j}) = \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{B^i}}{1 - q^{B^i}} \text{ for any } j \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

The series \(L_B(q)\) is a primary component of the following generating function\(^4\) for \(s_B(n)\).

**Corollary 8.** We have for \(B \geq 2\) and \(|q| < 1\), that

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_B(n) q^n = \frac{q}{(1 - q)^2} - \frac{B - 1}{1 - q} L_B(q).
\]

**Proof.** To prove the theorem, we require the following two-variable extension\(^5\) of \(L_B(q)\):

\[
\mathcal{L}_B(x; q) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{B^i}}{1 - xq^{B^i}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{(n+1)B^i}
\]

where \(|xq| < 1\) and the right-hand sum results from changing the order of summation in the first series. Note the series defining \(\mathcal{L}_B(x; q)\) begins at \(i = 0\) instead of 1, thus

\[
L_B(q) = \mathcal{L}_B(1; q) - \frac{q}{1 - q}.
\]

Then applying the differential operator \(z \frac{d}{dz}\) to both sides of Theorem 5 yields

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_B(n) q^n z^B(n) = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - z^B q^{B^i+1}}{1 - zq^{B^i}} \left[ z\mathcal{L}_B(z; q) - Bz \mathcal{L}_B(z^B; q^{B^i}) \right].
\]

\(^4\)A variant of \(L_B(q)\) is studied in [10]. We note that Corollary 8 is equivalent to Theorem 12 of [10].

\(^5\)This two-variable function transforms just like (11) under \(q \mapsto q^{B^j}\).
Letting \( z = 1 \), all the numerators and denominators of the infinite product on the right cancel except the denominator \((1 - q)^{-1}\) of the \( i = 0 \) factor. Noting by (11) and (13) that
\[
\mathcal{L}_B(1; q) - B\mathcal{L}_B(1; q^B) = \frac{q}{1 - q} - (B - 1)L_B(q)
\]
then completes the proof. \( \square \)

We point out both sides of the theorem approach \( q\frac{d}{dq}(1 - q)^{-1} \) as \( B \to \infty \). Combining Corollary 8 with Proposition 1 shows that in fact \( L_B(q) \) (times a constant) represents the generating function for the correction term \( \hat{c}_B(n - 1, 1) \).

**Corollary 9.** We have for \( B \geq 2 \) and \(|q| < 1\) that
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{c}_B(n - 1, 1)q^n = (B - 1)L_B(q).
\]

**Proof.** We simply note that setting \( a = n - 1, b = 1 \) in Proposition 1 gives
\[
(1 - q)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_B(n)q^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (s_B(n) - s_B(n - 1))q^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{c}_B(n)q^n.
\]
Comparing (16) with Corollary 8 gives the corollary. \( \square \)

The series \( L_B(q) \) also essentially generates the sequence of correction terms \( \hat{c}_B(\{1\}^n) \).

**Corollary 10.** We have for \( B \geq 2 \) and \(|q| < 1\) that
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{c}_B(\{1\}^n)q^n = \frac{B - 1}{1 - q}L_B(q).
\]

**Proof.** It is equivalent to (5) that we have the recursion relation
\[
\hat{c}_B(\{a\}^b) = \hat{c}_B(\{a\}^{b-1}) + \hat{c}_B(a(b - 1), a).
\]
Setting \( a = 1 \), and noting \( \hat{c}_B(\{a\}^0) = 0 \) holds for all \( a \geq 0, B \geq 2 \), we then can write
\[
(1 - q)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{c}_B(\{1\}^n)q^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\hat{c}_B(\{1\}^n) - \hat{c}_B(\{1\}^{n-1}))q^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{c}_B(n - 1, 1)q^n.
\]
Comparing (18) to Corollary 9 completes the proof. \( \square \)

In fact, the previous corollary highlights a special case of a more general identity tying together these two primary instances of \( \hat{c}_B \).

**Theorem 11.** We have for \( B \geq 2 \) and \(|q| < 1\) that
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{c}_B(\{a\}^n)q^n = \frac{q}{1 - q} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{c}_B(an, a)q^n.
\]

**Proof.** From (17) we can write more generally
\[
(1 - q)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{c}_B(\{a\}^n)q^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\hat{c}_B(\{a\}^n) - \hat{c}_B(\{a\}^{n-1}))q^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{c}_B(a(n - 1), a)q^n.
\]
Adjusting the index of summation (viz. \( n \mapsto n + 1 \)) on the right leads to the theorem. \( \square \)
Connections to $q$-series such as those in [6], [10], et al., and those given here, show that digit sums fit nicely into number theory and combinatorics in the neighborhood of partitions, unimodal sequences, combinations and other summatory structures.

We noticed other $q$-series connections we wish to follow up on. For instance, the type of index-shifting phenomenon highlighted in (11) is naturally embedded in $q$-series related to $L_B(q)$ (and (7)); one suspects interrelations and congruences between coefficients can be found. We note a similar shift of indices in a three-variable bilateral variant of $L_B(q)$

\[
\hat{L}_B(x; z, q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n q^{Bn}}{1 - x q^{Bn}},
\]

valid if $x \neq q^{-Bn}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}, |z| > B$, yields for $r, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ functional equations such as:

\[
z^r \hat{L}_B(x; z, q^B) = \hat{L}_B(x; z, q), \quad z^r \hat{L}_{B'}(x; z^t, q^B) = \sum_{n \equiv r (\mod t)} \frac{z^n q^{Bn}}{1 - x q^{Bn}}.
\]

3. Other digit sum connections

In this section we briefly point out connections between digit sums and other generating functions from number theory (see [10] for further reading). If we define the divisor sum\(^6\)

\[
S_B(n) := \sum_{d|n} s_B(d),
\]

it follows from standard methods that for $|q| < 1$, the Lambert series generating function for $s_B(n)$ is the $q$-series generating function for $S_B(n)$:

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_B(n)q^n}{1 - q^n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_B(n)q^n.
\]

Similarly, classical Dirichlet convolution formally connects the Dirichlet series generating functions for $s_B(n)$ and $S_B(n)$ to the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n^s$, viz.

\[
\zeta(s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_B(n)}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_B(n)}{n^s},
\]

although the convergence of these series is not obvious a priori. Now, $\zeta(s)$ converges for $\text{Re}(s) > 1$, but since $1 \leq s_B(n) \leq s_{B'}(n) \leq n$ for $B < B'$, convergence of (24) also depends on the base $B$ in each instance. Using the fact $s_B(n) = n$ for $n < B$ gives

\[
\lim_{B \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_B(n)}{n^s} = \zeta(s - 1),
\]

so we may uniformly assume $\text{Re}(s) > 2$ to ensure convergence in these series at any $B \geq 2$.

Many interesting identities relating $s_B(n)$ to $\zeta(s)$ as well as the Hurwitz zeta function

\[
\zeta(s, x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n + x)^s} \quad (x \in (0, 1], \text{Re}(s) > 1)
\]

---

\(^6\)This is an analog of the classical sum of divisors function $\sigma(n)$. Note $S_B(n)$ is equal to $\sigma(n)$ if $n < B$, and generally, the difference between the functions (by Corollary 4) is $\sigma(n) - S_B(n) = \sum_{d|n} \hat{c}_B(\{1\}^d)$. 

can be found in the literature (for instance, see [1, 10]). Below we prove a Dirichlet series identity related to the correction term \(\hat{c}_B\), as well as one related to the carry sum \(c_B\).

**Theorem 12.** We have for \(\text{Re}(s) > 2\) that
\[
\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\hat{c}_B(n - 1, 1)}{n^s} = \frac{B - 1}{B^s - 1} \zeta(s).
\]

**Proof.** We begin by observing that, for \(t = \lfloor \log_B n \rfloor\) the highest power of \(B\) in the base-\(B\) expansion of \(n \geq 1\), we have
\[
(27) \quad \hat{c}_B(n - 1, 1) = (B - 1) \sum_{i=1}^t \left\lfloor \frac{n}{B^i} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} (B - 1)N & \text{if } n = mB^N \text{ with } B \nmid m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

Then we can rewrite
\[
\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\hat{c}_B(n - 1, 1)}{n^s} = (B - 1) \sum_{N=1}^\infty \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{N}{(kB^N)^s} = (B - 1) \left(1 - \frac{1}{B^s}\right) \zeta(s) \sum_{N=1}^\infty \frac{N}{B^sN}.
\]

Recognizing the sum on the far right side as \(u \frac{d}{du}(1 - u)^{-1} = u/(1 - u)^2\) evaluated at \(u = 1/B^s\), and simplifying appropriately, yields Theorem 12. \(\square\)

We let \(c_B(\{1\}^n)\) denote the carry sum \(c_B(1, 1, ..., 1)\) \((n\) repetitions) for the next result.

**Theorem 13.** We have for \(\text{Re}(s) > 2\) that
\[
\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{c_B(\{1\}^n)}{n^s} = B^s - 1 - \sum_{m=1}^{B-1} m \zeta(s, m/B).
\]

**Proof.** Let \(\text{frac}(x) := x - \lfloor x \rfloor\) denote the fractional part of \(x \in \mathbb{R}\). Then by (6) we have
\[
(28) \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{c_B(\{1\}^n)}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{|n/B|}{n^s} = \frac{B}{B^{s+1}} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^{s-1}} - \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\text{frac}(n/B)}{n^s}.
\]

If we set \(n = mB + i\) for \(m \geq 0, 0 \leq i \leq B - 1\), then \(\text{frac}(n/B) = i/B\) and we can write
\[
(29) \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\text{frac}(n/B)}{n^s} = \sum_{i=1}^{B-1} \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{i/B}{(mB + i)^s} = \frac{1}{B^{s+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{B-1} \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(m + i/B)^s}.
\]

Comparing (26), (28) and (29) gives the theorem. \(\square\)

Theorems 12 and 13 complement the digit sum Dirichlet series identities proved in [1].

4. Computing the correction term

A slightly opaque aspect of these formulas is the presence of \(\hat{c}_B\), for the \(s_B\) are computed from digits prescribed at the outset and are thus relatively easy to write down, whereas the carried numbers only arise subsequently during the addition process. Of course, we can solve identities from Section 1 for the \(\hat{c}_B\) terms. Alternatively, here we note a recursive algorithm to explicitly compute the carry sum \(c_B\), as well as the terminal carry \(\beta\), and thus to write \(\hat{c}_B\) without necessarily going through the addition algorithm.

\[\text{We note that in light of Proposition 1, Theorem 12 is equivalent to Corollary 2 of [1].}\]
Let $\delta_i \geq 0$ denote the number carried over from the $B^i$ column ($i \geq 0$) in the addition algorithm when computing $a_1 + a_2 + ... + a_r$. Let $t$ denote the maximum power of $B$ occurring in the base-$B$ expansions of all the $a_i$, viz. the maximum value of $t_i$ over all

$$a_i = \alpha_{i,t_i}B^{t_i} + \alpha_{i,t_i-1}B^{t_i-1} + ... + \alpha_{i,2}B^2 + \alpha_{i,1}B + \alpha_0$$

with integer digits $0 \leq \alpha_{i,j} < B$. Then one can write the carries $\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, ..., \delta_t$ recursively:

$$\delta_0 = \left\lfloor \frac{\alpha_{1,0} + \alpha_{2,0} + ... + \alpha_{r,0}}{B} \right\rfloor$$

and, for $1 \leq j \leq t$,

$$\delta_j = \left\lfloor \frac{\alpha_{1,j} + \alpha_{2,j} + ... + \alpha_{r,j} + \delta_{j-1}}{B} \right\rfloor,$$

where the $\alpha_{i,j}$ are the base-$B$ digits of the integer $a_i$ as in (30). The reader can verify that the right-hand sides above do equal the carried numbers $\delta_j$. Then we have the following.

**Proposition 14.** For integers $a_i \geq 0$, the base-$B$ carry sum resulting from the computation of $a_1 + a_2 + ... + a_r$ is given by

$$c_B(a_1, a_2, ..., a_r) = \left\lfloor \frac{\alpha_{1,0} + \alpha_{2,0} + ... + \alpha_{r,0}}{B} \right\rfloor + \sum_{j \geq 1} \left\lfloor \frac{\alpha_{1,j} + \alpha_{2,j} + ... + \alpha_{r,j} + \delta_{j-1}}{B} \right\rfloor.$$ 

Moreover, the terminal carry $\beta$ is $\delta_t$.

From this proposition, the computation of $\hat{c}_B(a_1, a_2, ..., a_r)$ is almost automatic.
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