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Abstract. Given a quasisymmetric homeomorphism, we introduce the concept of quasisymmetric exponent and explore its relations with other conformal invariants. As a consequence, we establish a necessary and sufficient condition on the equivalence of the dilatation and the maximal dilatation of a quasisymmetric homeomorphism by using the quasisymmetric exponent. A classification on the elements of the universal Teichmüller space is obtained by using this necessary and sufficient condition.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper we let \( \mathbb{R} \) denote the real line, \( \mathbb{R} \) its one point compactification \( \mathbb{R} \cup \{ \infty \} \) and \( \mathbb{H} \) the upper half plane in \( \mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \). A (sense preserving) homeomorphism \( h \) from \( \mathbb{R} \) onto itself is called quasisymmetric if there exists a constant \( M \) such that

\[
M^{-1} \leq \frac{h(x+t) - h(x)}{h(x) - h(x-t)} \leq M
\]

for all numbers \( x \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( t > 0 \). The above inequality is often called Ahlfors’ \( M \)-condition. It is well known that \( h \) is quasisymmetric if and only if it is the boundary value of a quasiconformal mapping of \( \mathbb{H} \) onto itself. Furthermore, \( h \) is linear if and only if it is the boundary value of a conformal (Möbius) map of \( \mathbb{H} \). In other words, a homeomorphism \( h \) is quasisymmetric if and only if it has a quasiconformal extension to the upper half plane. This extendability induces that the collection of all quasisymmetric homeomorphisms of \( \mathbb{R} \) onto itself form a group. This feature makes the notion of quasisymmetry very useful in the theory of Riemann surfaces as well as in the study of one dimensional complex dynamical systems.

In order to quantify the quasisymmetry of a homeomorphism, several conformal invariants have been introduced. It has been an interesting and important problem for more than fifty years to investigate the relationship between the dilatation \( M_h \) and maximal dilatation \( K_h \) (see...
definitions below) of a quasisymmetric homeomorphism $h$ of the real line. From the conformal geometry point of view, the dilatation $M_h$ measures how much a given quasisymmetric homeomorphism changes the extremal distance between continua on the real line $\mathbb{R}$, while the maximal dilatation $K_h$ measures how much an extremal quasiconformal extension of the given quasisymmetric homeomorphism changes the extremal distance between continua in the upper half plane. It was conjectured, informally since the 1960’s, that $M_h = K_h$ for any homeomorphism. However, Anderson and Hinkkanen (see [3]) disproved this conjecture by constructing a concrete example of a family of affine mappings of some parallelograms. Thus, a natural question to ask is under what conditions the equality holds. After Anderson and Hinkkanen’s work, many concepts and methods were introduced to investigate the relation between these two quantities. This paper is also devoted to this endeavor.

1.1. Dilatations $M_h$ and $K_h$. Given a quasisymmetric homeomorphism $h$, in order to quantify its quasisymmetry (or to measure how far it is from being conformal), we define several conformal invariants (called dilatations) for $h$ and study their relations. These dilatations, in one way or the other, measure how much a homeomorphism or its extensions distort the moduli of certain curve families.

For a curve family $\Gamma$ in the plane, its (conformal) modulus, denoted by $\text{mod}(\Gamma)$, is defined as

$$\text{mod}(\Gamma) = \inf \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho^2 dm$$

where the infimum is taken over the set, denoted by $\text{adm}(\Gamma)$, of all non-negative Borel measurable functions $\rho : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int_{\gamma} \rho ds \geq 1$ for every locally rectifiable curve $\gamma$ in $\Gamma$. The extremal length $\lambda(\Gamma)$ of a curve family $\Gamma$ is defined as $\lambda(\Gamma) = 1/\text{mod}(\Gamma)$. The most frequently studied curve family is the one that joins two disjoint continua $A$ and $B$ in a domain $D$, and its modulus is denoted by $\text{mod}(A, B; D)$. We refer the reader to [1] and [2] for basic definitions and properties about the modulus and extremal length.

Given an orientation preserving (quasisymmetric) homeomorphism $h$ of $\mathbb{R}$ onto itself, there are two important constants associated with $h$. The first one, denoted by $M_h$, is called the dilatation of $h$ and is defined as

$$M_h = \sup \frac{\text{mod}(h(A), h(B); \mathbb{H})}{\text{mod}(A, B; \mathbb{H})},$$

where the supremum is taken over all pairs of disjoint nondegenerate continua $A$ and $B$ on the real line. Another one, denoted by $K_h$, is called the maximal dilatation of $h$. Let $QC(h)$ be the class of all quasiconformal mappings $f$ of the closed upper half plane $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{R}$
onto itself with boundary value $h$. The maximal dilatation $K_h$ is defined as

$$K_h = \inf \{ K(f) : f \in QC(h) \},$$

where $K(f)$ is the maximal dilatation of a quasiconformal mapping $f \in QC(h)$ and can be defined as

$$K(f) = \sup \frac{\text{mod}(f(\Gamma))}{\text{mod}(\Gamma)},$$

where the supremum is taken over all curve families $\Gamma$ in $\mathbb{H}$ such that $\text{mod}(f(\Gamma))$ and $\text{mod}(\Gamma)$ are not simultaneously zero or infinity.

Clearly, it follows from the definitions that $M_{h^{-1}} = M_h \geq 1$ and that $K_{h^{-1}} = K_h \geq 1$. It is also easy to observe that $M_h = K_h = 1$ if and only if $h$ is linear (or the boundary value of a Möbius transformation). A quasiconformal extension $f$ of $h$ onto $\mathbb{H}$ is called extremal if $K(f) = K_h$. It is well known that there always exists at least one extremal mapping in the class $QC(h)$ (see [19, 20]). Thus, for a given quasisymmetric homeomorphism $h$, its maximal dilatation $K_h$ is just the maximal dilatation of an extremal quasiconformal extension of $h$. This justifies the terminology and notation used here for the quantity $K_h$.

We want to point out that, in some existing literature, the quantity $M_h$ defined above is called maximal dilatation of $h$ and denoted by $K_h$ or $K_0(h)$, while the quantity $K_h$ defined above has been denoted by $K^*_h$ or $K^*(h)$. The purpose of introducing the new names and new notation here is to give more intuitive terms and notation for these quantities. One should also note that the dilatation $K_h$ can be defined in terms of moduli of quadrilaterals with domain $\mathbb{H}$ and vertices on the real line (see [3] and [24]).

1.2. **Boundary dilatation.** A quasisymmetric homeomorphism $h$ from $\mathbb{R}$ onto itself also determines another constant which is called the boundary dilatation of $h$ (see [21] and [22]). The local boundary dilatation of $h$ at a point $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined as:

$$H_h(\zeta) = \inf \{ K(f) : f \text{ is a QC extension of } h \text{ in a neighborhood of } \zeta \},$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible quasiconformal extensions $f$ of $h$ to neighborhoods of $\zeta$. The boundary dilatation of $h$ is then defined as

$$H_h = \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{R}} H_h(\zeta).$$

It is easy to see that $H_{h^{-1}} = H_h$. Also, as Fehlmann (see [8]) pointed out, the supremum in the above definition is achieved, that is,

$$H_h = \max_{\zeta \in \mathbb{R}} H_h(\zeta).$$
1.3. Relations among the dilatations. Obviously, the above defined constants associated with a quasisymmetric homeomorphism $h$ are all invariant under Möbius transformations, and hence are often referred to as conformal invariants. It is easy to see that they satisfy the following inequalities.

$$H_h \leq K_h, \quad M_h \leq K_h.$$  

However, the relationship between $H_h$ and $M_h$ is not clear.

It had been a long standing open question whether the conjectured relation $M_h = K_h$ always holds for any quasisymmetric homeomorphism, until Anderson and Hinkkanen [3] constructed an example disproving this conjecture. Later, Wu [24] and Yang [26] independently established a necessary condition such that $M_h = K_h$. In order to state their result, we need the following definitions.

A point $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$ is called a substantial boundary point of $h$ if $H_h(\zeta) = K_h$, meaning that $h$ cannot be extended to any neighborhood of $\zeta$ without reaching the global maximal dilatation $K_h$. A quasisymmetric homeomorphism $h$ of $\mathbb{R}$ onto itself is said to be induced by an affine mapping if it is the restriction to $\mathbb{R}$ of a map of the form $\phi_2 \circ A_K \circ \phi_1$, where $A_K(x + iy) = x + iKy$ is an affine map, while $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ are conformal mappings from a rectangle $\{x + iy : 0 < x < a, 0 < y < b\}$ and its image $\{u + iv : 0 < u < a, 0 < v < Kb\}$ under $A_K$ onto $\mathbb{H}$, respectively. The necessary condition for $M_h = K_h$ established by Wu (see [24]) and Yang (see [26]) can be stated as follows.

**Theorem A** ([24, 26]) Let $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a quasisymmetric homeomorphism. If $M_h = K_h$, then either $h$ is induced by an affine mapping or $H_h = K_h$ (that is, $h$ has a substantial boundary point).

In [24] and [26], both authors asked whether the necessary condition is also sufficient. Shiga and Tanigawa [18] gave an implicit counterexample by proving the existence of a homeomorphism $h$ for which $H_h = K_h$ and $M_h < K_h$. Later, Shen [16] proved that there exists a family of quasisymmetric homeomorphisms $h$ such that $M_h < K_h = H_h$ by analyzing a concrete example constructed by Strebel. From a totally different perspective, J. Chen and Z. Chen [5] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for the equality $M_h = K_h$ by using the method of quadratic differentials and the main inequality (see [15]). This result can be stated as follows.

**Theorem B** ([5]) Let $h$ be a quasisymmetric homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}$ and let $f(z)$ be an extremal quasiconformal extension of $h$ to the upper half plane $\mathbb{H}$ with complex dilatation $\mu(z)$. Then the equality $M_h = K_h$ holds if and only if

$$\sup_Q \text{Re} \iint_{\mathbb{H}} \mu(z) \Phi_Q^2(z) dxdy = ||\mu||_\infty$$
where the supremum is taken over all quadrilaterals $Q = Q(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$ with $\mathbb{H}$ as its domain and vertices $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\Phi_Q(z)$ maps $Q$ conformally onto a rectangle

$$R = \{\zeta = \xi + i\eta : 0 \leq \xi \leq a, 0 \leq \eta \leq b, ab = 1\}.$$ 

In a special case, Strebel (see [19]), from a geometric point of view, gave the following necessary and sufficient condition: if $h$ has no substantial boundary point, then $M_h = K_h$ if and only if $h$ is induced by an affine mapping.

Therefore, to completely solve the converse problem of Theorem A, one needs to find a necessary and sufficient condition for $M_h = K_h$ when $h$ has a substantial boundary point. The main purpose of this paper is to do just that. For this we need to introduce a key ingredient called quasisymmetric exponent $\alpha_h$ (see section 2 for definition).

1.4. Summary. One of our main results (Theorem 1) says that for a given quasisymmetric homeomorphism $h$ of the real line $\mathbb{R}$ onto itself, we always have $\alpha_h \leq H_h \leq K_h$ and $\alpha_h \leq M_h \leq K_h$. That means the quasisymmetric exponent can serve as a common lower bound for these three different dilatations. Based on this fundamental result, we give a necessary and sufficient condition (Theorem 2) for the dilatation of a quasisymmetric homeomorphism to be equal to its maximal dilatation. That is, $M_h = K_h$ if and only if either $\alpha_h = K_h$ or $h$ is induced by an affine mapping. A classification of elements in the universal Teichmüller space can be obtained by using this necessary and sufficient condition (Theorem 3). Furthermore, we also explore some connection between the quasiextremal distance (QED) constant and the quasisymmetric exponent.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the concept of quasisymmetric exponent $\alpha_h$ for a quasisymmetric homeomorphism. In Section 3, we compare various conformal invariants and show that the quasisymmetric exponent is the smallest among all of them. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of a necessary and sufficient condition for the equality $M_h = K_h$ and its corollaries. In Section 5 some further applications of the main results will be given. One is to establish a relation between the quasiconformal reflection constant and the quasiextremal distance (or QED) constant of a Jordan domain in the plane. Another is to give a classification of all quasisymmetric homeomorphisms and the elements in the universal Teichmüller space.

2. Quasisymmetric Exponent

Recall that quasisymmetric homeomorphisms were introduced by Beurling and Ahlfors [4] as the boundary values of quasiconformal self
mappings of the upper half plane. They showed that a homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}$ is quasisymmetric if and only if it satisfies the well known $M$-condition. Later, this very important concept was extended to embeddings in Euclidean spaces and more general metric spaces (see, for example, [11, 23]). To motivate the concept of quasisymmetric exponent, we recall the following general definition and basic properties for quasisymmetric maps.

Note that the quasisymmetry of a homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}$ is traditionally defined by using Ahlfors’ $M$-condition. In the general metric space setting, following Tukia and Väisälä [23], an embedding $h : X \to Y$ (in metric spaces) is called quasisymmetric (or QS), if there is a homeomorphism $\eta : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\frac{|c - b|}{|b - a|} \leq t \implies \frac{|h(c) - h(b)|}{|h(b) - h(a)|} \leq \eta(t)$$

for all distinct points $a, b, c \in X$ and for all $t > 0$. In this case we also say $f$ is $\eta$-QS. As proved by Tukia and Väisälä [23], these two definitions are equivalent in $\mathbb{R}$. From the definition of $\eta$-QS, $h$ is quasisymmetric if it distorts relative distances by a bounded amount controlled by the distortion function $\eta$. It is well known that, in the Euclidean space setting, one can always take the distortion function in the following special form (see [11, 23]):

$$\eta(t) = C \max\{t^\lambda, t^{1/\lambda}\},$$

where $C \geq 1$ and $\lambda \geq 1$ are constants depending only on the quasisymmetric data of $h$ (namely, the original distortion function).

Thus, to quantify the quasisymmetry of a quasisymmetric homeomorphism, it is natural for us to introduce the concept of quasisymmetric exponent as follows.

**Definition 1.** Suppose $h$ is a quasisymmetric homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}$ onto itself. For any given $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the local quasisymmetric exponent of $h$ at $x$, denoted by $\alpha_h(x)$, is defined as

$$\alpha_h(x) = \inf \lambda,$$

where the infimum is taken over all exponent $\lambda \geq 1$ such that there exist constant $M$ and a neighborhood $N$ of $x$ with the property that

$$\frac{|c - b|}{|b - a|} \leq t \implies \frac{|h(c) - h(b)|}{|h(b) - h(a)|} \leq M \max\{t^\lambda, t^{1/\lambda}\}$$

for all distinct triples $a, b, c \in N$. Furthermore, the quasisymmetric exponent of $h$ is defined as

$$\alpha_h = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \alpha_h(x).$$
Note that, like the other constants with respect to a quasisymmetric homeomorphism $h$, the quasisymmetric exponents $\alpha_h(x)$ and $\alpha_h$ are also invariant under Möbius transformations. In this paper, we establish some fundamental relations among these constants.

Before proceeding to the main results, we want to point out one major advantage of the quasisymmetric exponent. It is local and easy to estimate without using quasiconformal extensions or moduli of curve families. As a result, many existing counterexamples are easy consequences of our main results.

3. Comparison between the quasisymmetric exponent and dilatations

In this section, we will focus on establishing some fundamental relations among the four constants $\alpha_h, H_h, M_h$ and $K_h$ for any given quasisymmetric homeomorphism $h$. In particular, we show that the quasisymmetric exponent $\alpha_h$ is the smallest among these invariants.

To estimate the moduli of certain curve families, the Teichmüller function $\Psi(t)$ associated with the Teichmüller ring plays an important role. Here we state its definition and some basic properties. More details can be found in [1]. Recall that for any domain $D$ and two disjoint nondegenerate continua $A$ and $B$ in $D$, we let $\text{mod}(A, B; D)$ denote the conformal modulus of the curve family joining $A$ and $B$ in $D$. The Teichmüller function $\Psi(t)$ $(t > 0)$ is determined by

$$\text{mod}([-1, 0], [t, \infty]; \mathbb{C}) = \frac{2\pi}{\ln \Psi(t)},$$

where $[a, b]$ denotes the line segment joining $a$ and $b$. It is well known that $\Psi(t)$ is strictly increasing and that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\Psi(t)}{t} = 16, \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\ln \Psi(t)}{\ln t} = 1.$$

These limits will be used frequently without mentioning in this paper.

Now we are ready to establish the first relation which states that the quasisymmetric exponent $\alpha_h$ is always a lower bound for the boundary dilatation $H_h$.

**Proposition 1.** For any quasisymmetric homeomorphism $h$ of the real line $\mathbb{R}$ onto itself, $\alpha_h \leq H_h$.

**Proof.** By definition of the quasisymmetric exponent $\alpha_h$, it suffices to show that for each fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha_h(x) \leq H_h$. By composing with Möbius transformations if necessary, we may assume that $x = 0$ and $h(0) = 0$. Hence we will focus on the proof of $\alpha_h(0) \leq H_h$. To this end, we only need to show that, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a neighborhood $N$ of 0 and constant $M < \infty$ such that
for any distinct triplets \( a, b, c \in N \), where \( a', b', c' \) denote the images of \( a, b, c \), respectively.

Suppose (1) is not true. Then there exist a constant \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and sequences of points \( a_n, b_n, c_n \rightarrow 0 \) as \( n \rightarrow \infty \) such that

\[
\left| \frac{c_n - b_n}{b_n - a_n} \right| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{c'_n - b'_n}{b'_n - a'_n} \right| \rightarrow \infty
\]
as \( n \rightarrow \infty \). Let

\[
\tau_n = \left| \frac{c_n - b_n}{b_n - a_n} \right|, \quad \tau'_n = \left| \frac{c'_n - b'_n}{b'_n - a'_n} \right|.
\]

Taking the logarithm in (2) yields

\[
\frac{1}{H_h + \varepsilon} \ln \frac{1}{\tau_n} - \ln \frac{1}{\tau'_n} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \ln \tau'_n - (H_h + \varepsilon) \ln \tau_n \rightarrow \infty.
\]

Here and in what follows throughout this paper, we will pass freely to subsequences in order for the limits involved to exist (finite or infinity).

In order to derive a contradiction with (3), we assume \( \tau_n \rightarrow \tau \) and \( \tau'_n \rightarrow \tau' \) and need to consider three cases: \( 0 < \tau < \infty \), \( \tau = 0 \) and \( \tau = \infty \).

For the case \( 0 < \tau < \infty \), since \( h \) is a quasisymmetric homeomorphism, it follows that \( 0 < \tau' < \infty \). Thus, letting \( n \rightarrow \infty \) in (3) yields a contradiction in this case.

For the case \( \tau = 0 \), one can choose a sequence \( d_n \rightarrow 0 \) such that

\[
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{d_n - a_n}{d_n - c_n} \right| = 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|d_n|}{\max\{|a_n|, |b_n|, |c_n|\}} = \infty.
\]

For example, it is easy to verify that the sequence

\[
d_n = \sqrt{\max\{|a_n|, |b_n|, |c_n|\}}
\]

has the desired properties. Let \( d'_n = h(d_n) \). Since \( h \) is a quasisymmetric homeomorphism with \( h(0) = 0 \), it follows that

\[
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d'_n = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|d'_n|}{\max\{|a'_n|, |b'_n|, |c'_n|\}} = \infty.
\]

Furthermore, by quasisymmetry again,

\[
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{c_n - a_n}{d_n - c_n} \right| = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{c'_n - a'_n}{d'_n - c'_n} \right| = 0.
\]

Thus, it follows that

\[
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{d'_n - a'_n}{d'_n - c'_n} \right| = 1.
\]
We shall derive a contradiction with (3) by using various modulus estimates. To this end, we denote the line segments \([a_n, b_n]\) and \([c_n, d_n]\) by \(A_n\) and \(B_n\), respectively, and their images under \(h\) by \(A'_n\) and \(B'_n\), respectively.

By the definition of the boundary dilatation \(H_h\), for the given \(\varepsilon > 0\) as in (3), there exists a neighborhood \(U\) of 0 in the complex plane, such that \(h\) has a quasiconformal extension \(f\) in \(U\) whose maximal dilatation in \(U\) is less than or equal to \(H_h + \varepsilon\). Let \(U' = f(U)\). By the quasi-invariance of modulus, it follows that

\[
\frac{1}{H_h + \varepsilon} \leq \frac{\text{mod}(A'_n, B'_n; U')}{\text{mod}(A_n, B_n; U)} \leq H_h + \varepsilon. \tag{4}
\]

Since \(a_n, b_n, c_n, d_n \to 0\) as \(n \to \infty\), there exists a circular ring \(A(0; r, R) \subset U\) centered at 0 of outer radius \(R\) and inner radius \(r\). Using some basic properties of modulus, one can easily derive that

\[
\text{mod}(A_n, B_n; U) \leq \text{mod}(A_n, B_n; \mathbb{C}) \leq \text{mod}(A_n, B_n, U) + \frac{2\pi}{\ln R/r}.
\]

Since

\[
\text{mod}(A_n, B_n; \mathbb{C}) = \frac{2\pi}{\ln \Psi\left(\frac{d_n}{a_n} - \frac{a_n}{d_n} - \frac{c_n}{a_n} - \frac{d_n}{c_n}\right)} \to \infty
\]

as \(n \to \infty\), it follows that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{mod}(A_n, B_n; \mathbb{C})}{\text{mod}(A_n, B_n, U)} = 1.
\]

Similarly, we have

\[
\text{mod}(A'_n, B'_n; \mathbb{C}) = \frac{2\pi}{\ln \Psi\left(\frac{d'_n}{a'_n} - \frac{a'_n}{d'_n} - \frac{c'_n}{a'_n} - \frac{d'_n}{c'_n}\right)} \to \infty
\]

and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{mod}(A'_n, B'_n; \mathbb{C})}{\text{mod}(A'_n, B'_n, U')} = 1.
\]

Therefore, by considering the Teichmüller ring whose complementary components are \(A_n\) and \(B_n\) and its conjugate ring, it follows that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{mod}(A'_n, B'_n; U')}{\text{mod}(A_n, B_n; U)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{mod}(A'_n, B'_n; \mathbb{C})}{\text{mod}(A_n, B_n; \mathbb{C})} = \frac{2\pi}{\ln \Psi\left(\frac{1}{\tau_n}\right)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln \frac{1}{\tau_n}}{2\pi/\ln \Psi\left(\frac{1}{\tau_n}\right)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln \frac{1}{\tau_n}}{\frac{1}{\tau_n}}.
\]

This together with (4) yields

\[
\frac{1}{H_h + \varepsilon} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln \tau_n}{\ln \frac{1}{\tau_n}} \leq H_h + \varepsilon,
\]

which contradicts (3) as desired.
Finally, it remains to consider the case that \( \tau = \infty \). In this case, we let
\[
\tilde{\tau}_n = \frac{1}{\tau_n} = \frac{|a_n - b_n|}{|c_n - b_n|}.
\]
Then \( \tilde{\tau}_n \to 0 \) and the above argument shows that
\[
\frac{1}{H_h + \varepsilon} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln \tilde{\tau}_n}{\ln \tilde{\tau}_n'} \leq H_h + \varepsilon,
\]
which also contradicts (3), and hence completes the proof of Proposition 1.

The above argument can be modified to establish the following relation between the quasisymmetric exponent \( \alpha_h \) and the dilatation \( M_h \) for a quasisymmetric homeomorphism. This is somewhat surprising because \( \alpha_h \) is a local constant while \( M_h \) measures the global distortion of modulus by \( h \).

**Proposition 2.** For any quasisymmetric homeomorphism \( h \) of the real line \( \mathbb{R} \) onto itself, \( \alpha_h \leq M_h \)

**Proof.** The idea and set up are the same as in the proof of Proposition 1. The only difference is the estimates of moduli of certain curve families. So we will use exactly the same notation as above and replace the boundary dilatation \( H_h \) by the dilatation \( M_h \) of a quasisymmetric homeomorphism. Thus, in the place of (3), we have

\[
\frac{1}{M_h + \varepsilon} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln \tilde{\tau}_n}{\ln \tilde{\tau}_n'} \leq M_h + \varepsilon,
\]

as \( n \to \infty \).

To derive a contradiction with (5), as in the proof of Proposition 1, we only need to consider the case when \( \tau_n \to 0 \). For this, we choose the same sequence \( \{d_n\} \), and let \( A_n = [a_n, b_n], B_n = [c_n, d_n], A_n' = h(A_n), B_n' = h(B_n) \). By some basic properties of the Teichmüller ring, one deduces that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{mod}(A_n', B_n'; \mathbb{C})}{\text{mod}(A_n, B_n; \mathbb{C})} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2\pi}{\ln \Psi(\frac{1}{\tau_n})} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln \frac{1}{\tau_n}}{\ln \frac{1}{\tau_n}}.
\]

On the other hand, by definition of the dilatation \( M_h \), it follows that

\[
\frac{1}{M_h} \leq \frac{\text{mod}(A_n', B_n'; \mathbb{C})}{\text{mod}(A_n, B_n; \mathbb{C})} \leq M_h.
\]

Therefore

\[
\frac{1}{M_h} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{\tau_n}} \leq M_h.
\]
This contradicts (5), and hence completes the proof of Proposition 2. □

Combining Propositions 1 and 2, we obtain the following relationship among the four important conformal invariants $\alpha_h, M_h, H_h$ and $K_h$ of a homeomorphism.

**Theorem 1.** For any quasisymmetric homeomorphism $h$ of the real line $\mathbb{R}$ onto itself, we have

$$\alpha_h \leq H_h \leq K_h, \quad \alpha_h \leq M_h \leq K_h.$$ 

These estimates will play a crucial role in establishing a necessary and sufficient condition for the equality $M_h = K_h$.

4. A NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR $M_h = K_h$

In this section we prove the following main result and derive some corollaries.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose $h$ is a quasisymmetric homeomorphism of the real line $\mathbb{R}$ onto itself. Then $M_h = K_h$ if and only if $\alpha_h = K_h$ or $h$ is induced by an affine mapping.

As pointed out in the introduction, the converse of Theorem A is not true, that is the equality $H_h = K_h$ (or existence of a substantial boundary point) is not sufficient to guarantee that $M_h = K_h$. By Theorem 2, however, if one replaces the boundary dilatation $H_h$ by the quasiextremal exponent $\alpha_h$, then the condition in Theorem A becomes necessary and sufficient for the equality $M_h = K_h$.

4.1. Preliminary results. In order to prove Theorem 2, we need two more preliminary results which have their own interests. They exhibit how the quasisymmetric exponent dictates the change of cross-ratios under a homeomorphism. In what follows, we let $h$ be a quasisymmetric homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}$. For any point $a \in \mathbb{R}$ its image under $h$ will be denoted by $a'$. The cross-ratio of four distinct points $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$[a, b, c, d] = \frac{|c - b||d - a|}{|b - a||d - c|}.$$ 

As a convention, we shall always pass to subsequences if necessary to make the limits involved exist (finite or infinite).

**Lemma 1.** Let $h$ be a quasisymmetric homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}$ and let $a_n < b_n < c_n$ be sequences of points in $\mathbb{R}$ all converging to the
origin with \( \tau_n = |c_n - b_n|/|b_n - a_n| \to \infty \) or 0 as \( n \to \infty \). Then, for 
\[ \tau'_n = |c'_n - b'_n|/|b'_n - a'_n|, \]
we have
\[
\frac{1}{\alpha_h} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln \tau_n}{\ln \tau'_n} \leq \alpha_h.
\]

**Proof.** By considering \( 1/\tau_n \) if needed, we may assume that 
\( \tau_n = |c_n - b_n|/|b_n - a_n| \to \infty \). For a fixed \( \varepsilon > 0 \), by the definition of \( \alpha_h \), there exists a constant \( M < \infty \) such that
\[
\frac{1}{\tau'_n} \leq M \left( \frac{1}{\tau_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_h + \varepsilon}}
\]
for sufficiently large \( n \). Thus it follows that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln \tau_n}{\ln \tau'_n} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln \frac{1}{\tau_n}}{\ln\frac{1}{\tau'_n}} = \alpha_h + \varepsilon.
\]
On the other hand,
\[
\tau'_n \leq M \tau_n^{\alpha_h + \varepsilon} \Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln \tau'_n}{\ln \tau_n} \leq \alpha_h + \varepsilon.
\]
Finally, letting \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) yields the desired inequalities. \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.** Let \( h \) be a quasisymmetric homeomorphism of \( \mathbb{R} \) and let \( a_n < b_n < c_n < d_n \) be sequences of points in \( \mathbb{R} \) all converging to the origin with \( t_n = [a_n, b_n, c_n, d_n] \to \infty \) or 0 as \( n \to \infty \). Then, for 
\[ t'_n = [a'_n, b'_n, c'_n, d'_n], \]
we have
\[
\frac{1}{\alpha_h} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln t_n}{\ln t'_n} \leq \alpha_h.
\]

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we may assume \( t_n \to \infty \). By switching the roles of \([a_n, b_n]\) and \([c_n, d_n]\) if needed, we may further assume that \( r_n = |b_n - a_n|/|d_n - c_n| \) is bounded. Then
\[
(6) \quad t_n = \frac{|c_n - b_n| |d_n - a_n|}{|b_n - a_n| |d_n - c_n|} = \tau_n (1 + r_n + \sigma_n),
\]
where
\[
\tau_n = \frac{|c_n - b_n|}{|b_n - a_n|}, \quad \sigma_n = \frac{|c_n - b_n|}{|d_n - c_n|} = r_n \tau_n.
\]
We let \( t'_n, \tau'_n, \sigma'_n, r'_n \) denote the corresponding quantities as determined by \( a'_n, b'_n, c'_n, d'_n \).

Since \( r_n \) is bounded, it follows from (6) that \( t_n \to \infty \) induces \( \tau_n \to \infty \). Thus we only need to consider two cases: \( \sigma_n \) is bounded or \( \sigma_n \to \infty \).

If \( \sigma_n \) is bounded, \( \sigma'_n \) is also bounded due to the quasisymmetry of \( h \). Thus, (6) implies that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln t_n}{\ln t'_n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln \tau_n}{\ln \tau'_n}.
\]
which, together with Lemma 1 yields the desired inequalities.

Finally, assume that $\sigma_n \to \infty$. Then, it follows again from (6) that

$$\frac{t_n}{\tau_n \sigma_n} = 1 + \frac{r_n + \sigma_n}{\sigma_n} \to 1.$$  

Thus, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \ln t_n = \ln \tau_n + \ln \sigma_n.$$  

It is easy to see that the desired inequalities follow from this and Lemma 1 applied to both $\tau_n$ and $\sigma_n$.

4.2. Remark. The proof of Theorem 2 involves delicate analysis on how the dilatation $M_h$ is achieved. Before proceeding, we introduce the following terminology. Recall that, for a quasisymmetric homeomorphism $h$ of $\mathbb{R}$, the dilatation $M_h$ is defined as

$$M_h = \sup \frac{\text{mod}(h(A), h(B); \mathbb{H})}{\text{mod}(A, B; \mathbb{H})},$$

where the supremum is taken over all pairs of disjoint nondegenerate continua $A$ and $B$ on $\mathbb{R}$. We say that $M_h$ is attained by non-degenerate continua if there exist a pair of disjoint nondegenerate continua $A$ and $B$ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $M_h = \frac{\text{mod}(h(A), h(B); \mathbb{H})}{\text{mod}(A, B; \mathbb{H})}$.

We say that $M_h$ is attained by degenerate continua if there are sequences of points $a_n \to a$, $b_n \to b$, $c_n \to c$, $d_n \to d$ such that

$$M_h = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{mod}([a'_n, b'_n], [c'_n, d'_n]; \mathbb{H})}{\text{mod}([a_n, b_n], [c_n, d_n]; \mathbb{H})}$$

and such that at least two of the limit points $a, b, c, d$ coincide, where $a'_n, b'_n, c'_n, d'_n$ are the images of $a_n, b_n, c_n, d_n$ under $h$. In the degenerate case, we say it is totally degenerate if the cross-ratios

$$t_n = [a_n, b_n, c_n, d_n] = \frac{|c_n - b_n||d_n - a_n|}{|b_n - a_n||d_n - c_n|} \to 0 \text{ or } \infty$$

as $n \to \infty$. We say it is pseudo degenerate if $t_n = [a_n, b_n, c_n, d_n] \to t \neq 0, \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Note that these cases may or may not be mutually exclusive.

4.3. Proof of Theorem 2. For the sufficiency, if $\alpha_h = K_h$, it follows immediately from Theorem 1 that $M_h = K_h$. If $h$ is induced by an affine mapping, it is easy to see that $M_h = K_h$ as well because the affine map itself is an extremal QC extension of $h$.

For the proof of necessity in Theorem 2, let $M_h = K_h$. We need to show that either $h$ is induced by an affine map or $\alpha_h = K_h$. This
will be done by analyzing the three cases on how $M_h$ is achieved: non-degenerate case, totally degenerate case, and pseudo-degenerate case as defined above. In the non-degenerate case and pseudo-degenerate case, we shall show that $h$ is induced by an affine map. In the totally degenerate case, we derive that $M_h \leq \alpha_h$. This together with Theorem 1 and the equality $M_h = K_h$ yields that $\alpha_h = K_h$ as desired.

4.4. Non-degenerate case for $M_h$. In this case, $M_h$ is attained by non-degenerate continua, that is, there exist a pair of disjoint non-degenerate continua $A$ and $B$ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$M_h = \frac{\text{mod}(h(A), h(B); \mathbb{H})}{\text{mod}(A, B; \mathbb{H})}$.

Then, by the proof of Theorem A (see [26]), the equality $M_h = K_h$ implies that $h$ is induced by an affine map.

4.5. Reduction of degenerate case. To treat the totally degenerate case and pseudo-degenerate case efficiently, we first make a reduction on the general degenerate case for $M_h$. Assume that $M_h$ is achieved by degenerate continua. Then there are sequences of points $a_n \to a$, $b_n \to b$, $c_n \to c$, $d_n \to d$ such that (7) holds and that at least two of the limit points $a, b, c, d$ coincide.

According to the possible positions of the limit points $a, b, c, d$, there are four degenerate cases to be considered:

1. $a = b$ and $a, c, d$ distinct;
2. $a = b, c = d$ and $a \neq c$;
3. $a = b = c \neq d$;
4. $a = b = c = d$.

However, due to the detailed analysis done in the proof of Theorem A in [26], one concludes that in all the degenerate cases one can choose sequences $a_n < b_n < c_n < d_n$, all converging to the same point, say the origin, such that (7) holds. Thus, for the remainder of the proof, we assume that such sequences have been chosen.

4.6. Totally degenerate case. In this case, we have sequences $a_n < b_n < c_n < d_n$, all converging to the origin, such that (7) holds and that

$t_n = [a_n, b_n, c_n, d_n] = \frac{|c_n - b_n||d_n - a_n|}{|b_n - a_n||d_n - c_n|} \to 0$ or $\infty$.

And let $t'_n = [a'_n, b'_n, c'_n, d'_n]$.

First, assume $t_n \to \infty$. In this case, $t'_n \to \infty$ as well due to the quasisymmetry of $h$. Thus, by Lemma 2, it follows that

$$M_h = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{mod}(a'_n, b'_n; C)}{\text{mod}(a_n, b_n; C)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2\pi}{\ln \Psi(t'_n)}$$.
Hence in this case we have $M_h \leq \alpha_h \leq K_h$.

Next, assume $t_n \to 0$. By considering the conjugate quadrilateral of $Q(a_n, b_n, c_n, d_n)$, we obtain that

$$M_h \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln t_n}{\ln t'_n} \leq \alpha_h.$$

Appealing to Lemma 2 again yields that

$$M_h \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln(1/t'_n)}{\ln(1/t_n)} \leq \alpha_h \leq K_h.$$

Thus, in the totally degenerate case, we have $M_h \leq \alpha_h \leq K_h$. Therefore, the equality $M_h = K_h$ yields $\alpha_h = K_h$ as desired.

4.7. **Pseudo-degenerate case.** In this case, there exist sequences $a_n < b_n < c_n < d_n$, all converging to the origin, such that (7) holds and that

$$t_n = [a_n, b_n, c_n, d_n] \to t, \quad t'_n = [a'_n, b'_n, c'_n, d'_n] \to t'$$

as $n \to \infty$, where the limits $t$ and $t'$ are finite and positive. Thus it follows that

$$M_h = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln(\ln(1/t'_n))}{\ln(\ln(1/t_n))} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2\pi}{\ln \Psi(t')} = \ln \Psi(t).$$

We will use a compactness argument to show that there exists a quasisymmetric homeomorphism $g$ of $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$M_g = M_h, \quad K_g = K_h$$

and that $M_g$ is attained by non-degenerate continua.

For this we fix Möbius transformations $\varphi_n$ and $\psi_n$ such that

$$\varphi_n(a_n) = -1, \quad \varphi_n(b_n) = 0, \quad \varphi_n(c_n) = t_n, \quad \varphi_n(d_n) = \infty$$

and that

$$\psi_n(a'_n) = -1, \quad \psi_n(b'_n) = 0, \quad \psi_n(c'_n) = t'_n, \quad \psi_n(d'_n) = \infty.$$ 

For $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, let

$$g_n = \psi_n \circ h \circ \varphi_n^{-1}.$$ 

Then $g_n$ fixes $-1, 0$ and $\infty$, and $g_n(t_n) = t'_n$. Furthermore, by the Möbius invariance of $M_h$ and $K_h$, it follows that

$$M_{g_n} = M_h \quad \text{and} \quad K_{g_n} = K_h$$

for any $n \geq 1$. Also we have $H_{g_n} = H_h$. Next, let $f_n$ be an extremal quasiconformal extension of $g_n$ to $C$. Due to the compactness of the family $\{f_n\}$, we conclude (by passing to a subsequence if necessary) that $f_n$ converges uniformly (in the spherical metric) to a quasiconformal
mapping \( f \). Denote the restriction of \( f \) to the real line by \( g \). Then, \( g \) also fixes \(-1, 0, \infty\) and \( g(t) = t' \). Moreover, it follows from the uniform convergence that

\[
M_g = \lim_{n \to \infty} M_{g_n} = M_h, \quad K_g = K_h.
\]

This yields that \( M_g \) is attained by the non-degenerate continua \([-1, 0]\) and \([t, \infty]\).

Now assume that the equality \( M_h = K_h \) holds. Then we have \( M_g = K_g \). Applying the non-degenerate case treated above to the quasisymmetric homeomorphism \( g \), we conclude that \( g \) is induced by an affine map. Hence \( H_g < K_g \). This means that \( g \) is a Strebel point in the universal Teichmüller space (see, for example, [13]). Furthermore, since the set of Strebel points is open in the universal Teichmüller space (see [13]) and \( g_n = \psi_n \circ h \circ \varphi_n^{-1} \to g \), it follows that \( g_n \) (for large \( n \)), and hence \( h \), is a Strebel point as well. Thus, \( h \) does not have a substantial boundary point. Therefore, by Theorem A, the equality \( M_h = K_h \) implies that \( h \) is induced by an affine map. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

4.8. Remark. The above proof shows that, in the totally degenerate case where the cross ratio \( t_n = [a_n, b_n, c_n, d_n] \) converges to 0 or \( \infty \), we have \( M_h \leq \alpha_h \). Combining this with Proposition 2, it follows that \( M_h = \alpha_h \). This reveals an intimate relation between the dilatation \( M_h \) and quasisymmetric exponent \( \alpha_h \) of a homeomorphism \( h \).

4.9. Corollaries. We conclude this section by deriving several corollaries from the above results. Combining Theorem 2 and the proof of Theorem B (or its degenerate case considered in [6]), we obtain the following equivalent conditions for the non-trivial case when \( h \) is not induced by an affine map.

**Corollary 1.** Suppose \( h \) is a quasisymmetric homeomorphism of the real line \( \mathbb{R} \) onto itself. If \( h \) is not induced by affine mapping, then the following conditions are all equivalent

1. \( \alpha_h = K_h \),
2. \( M_h = K_h \),
3. There exists an extremal quasiconformal extension of \( h \) whose complex dilatation \( \mu \) satisfies

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{H}} \mu(z) \Phi_{Q_n}^2(z) \, dx \, dy}{\int_{\mathbb{H}} |\mu(z)\Phi_{Q_n}^2(z)| \, dx \, dy} = \|\mu\|_{\infty}
\]

where \( \Phi_{Q_n} \) maps a degenerating topological quadrilateral sequence \( Q_n = Q(z_1^n, z_2^n, z_3^n, z_4^n) \) conformally onto a rectangle

\[
R_n = \{ \zeta = \xi + i\eta : 0 < \xi < 1, 0 < \eta < b_n \}.
\]
Next, we illustrate how the above results can be used to determine whether the two dilatations $M_h$ and $K_h$ are the same for a given homeomorphism $h$. First we consider the case when $\alpha_h = 1$. The following Corollary can be derived easily from Theorem 2.

**Corollary 2.** Let $h$ be a quasisymmetric homeomorphism of the real line $\mathbb{R}$ onto itself which is not Möbius and not induced by an affine map. If $\alpha_h = 1$, then $M_h < K_h$.

This corollary looks simple. But it can be applied to a variety of examples. One of them is the following well known Strebel example:

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} Kx, & x \geq 0; \\ x, & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

for some $K > 1$. It is shown that (see [16], [21])

$$f(z) = K^{1 - \frac{1}{2} \pi \arg z}$$

is an extremal quasiconformal extension of $h$ onto $\mathbb{H}$ and that

$$H_h = K_h = 1 + \frac{1}{2 \pi^2} \ln^2 K + \frac{1}{\pi} \ln K \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{4 \pi^2 \ln^2 K}}.$$ 

Using these and some sophisticated calculation, Shen [16] showed that $M_h < K_h$ for this $h$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that the quasisymmetric exponent of the above $h$ is $\alpha_h = 1$. Thus it follows immediately from Theorem 2 (or the above corollary) that $M_h < K_h$.

For a wider class of examples, we introduce the concept of locally linear homeomorphism.

**Definition 2.** A homeomorphism $h$ of $\mathbb{R}$ onto itself is said to be locally linear if for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exist a left side neighborhood $U^- (x)$ and right side neighborhood $U^+ (x)$, such that $h$ is a linear function in both $U^- (x)$ and $U^+ (x)$.

Apparently, any piecewise linear homeomorphism is locally linear. In particular, Strebel’s example is locally linear. It is easy to see that for a locally linear homeomorphism $h$, we always have $\alpha_h = 1$. Thus the following result follows.

**Corollary 3.** If $h$ is a locally linear homeomorphism other than a Möbius map, then $M_h < K_h$.

Finally, we consider the other end of the spectrum for $\alpha_h$: $\alpha_h = K_h$. The following result follows directly from Proposition 1.

**Corollary 4.** If $\alpha_h = K_h$, then $h$ has a substantial boundary point.
However, as illustrated by the above Strebel example, the converse of this result is not true. It shows that substantial boundary points can occur even when $\alpha_h = 1$.

5. Applications

We conclude this paper with two more applications of the above results. One is an attempt to classify elements in the universal Teichmüller space. The other is to estimate some domain constants.

5.1. Classification of quasisymmetric homeomorphisms. Consider the set of all quasisymmetric homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}$ onto itself. Two homeomorphisms $h_1$ and $h_2$ is called equivalent if there exists some conformal automorphism $\phi$ of the extended complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ such that $h_1 = h_2 \circ \phi$. The set of equivalent classes is known as the universal Teichmüller space $T$ of Bers (see, for example, [14]). By Earle and Li [7], a quasisymmetric homeomorphism (or its equivalence class) in the universal Teichmüller space is called a Strebel point if $H_h < K_h$.

Following a result of Lakic [13], the set of Strebel points is open and dense in the universal Teichmüller space $T$. It is obvious that a quasisymmetric homeomorphism $h$ is not a Strebel point if and only if it has a substantial boundary point. It is also well known that (see, for example, [19, 20]) a quasisymmetric homeomorphism $h$ induced by an affine mapping is a Strebel point.

A classification of all quasisymmetric homeomorphisms can be obtained by using the above results. By Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, if $\alpha_h = K_h$, then all the four constants $\alpha_h, H_h, M_h$ and $K_h$ are equal. We call a quasisymmetric homeomorphism having this property an essential quasisymmetric homeomorphism. The following result follows easily from above discussion.

**Theorem 3.** Any quasisymmetric homeomorphism $h$ of real line $\mathbb{R}$ onto itself belongs to one and only one of the following classes.

(1) $\alpha_h = K_h$ (that is, $h$ is an essential quasisymmetric homeomorphism);
(2) $\alpha_h < K_h$:
   (2.1) $H_h = K_h$ ($h$ has a substantial boundary point).
   (2.2) $H_h < K_h$ ($h$ is a Strebel point).

Since $H_h < K_h = M_h$ for any affine map, a quasisymmetric homeomorphism induced by an affine map is not an essential quasisymmetric
homeomorphism and it belongs to class (2.2). While the Strebel’s example belongs to class (2.1), an essential quasisymmetric homeomorphism is given by the following example. For any $\alpha \geq 1$, let

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} x^\alpha, & x \geq 0; \\ -|x|^\alpha, & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $h$ is a quasisymmetric homeomorphism with quasisymmetric exponent $\alpha_h = \alpha$. Note that $h$ is the boundary value of the quasiconformal map $f(z) = |z|^{\alpha-1}z$. Thus $K_h = \alpha$ and $h$ is an essential quasisymmetric homeomorphism.

### 5.2. QED constants

For a Jordan domain $\Omega$ in the complex plane, consider the following quasiextremal distance (or QED) constant introduced by Yang [27]:

$$M(\Omega) = \sup \frac{\text{mod}(A, B; \mathbb{C})}{\text{mod}(A, B; \Omega)},$$

where the supremum is taken over all pairs of disjoint continua $A$ and $B$ in $\overline{\Omega}$ such that $\text{mod}(A, B; \mathbb{C})$ and $\text{mod}(A, B; \Omega)$ are not simultaneously zero or infinity. A domain $\Omega$ is called a QED domain if its QED constant $M(\Omega)$ is finite. QED domains were first introduced by Gehring and Martio (see [9]) in connection with the theory of quasiconformal mappings, and later studied by many others (see [12, 27], etc). Quasiextremal distance constant reflects the geometric properties of domain $\Omega$ and measures how far $\Omega$ is from being a disk. It was proved in [9] that a finitely connected domain $\Omega$ is a QED domain if and only if $\Omega$ is a quasicircle domain.

There are two other closely related domain constants. One is the quasiconformal reflection constant, defined as

$$R(\Omega) = \inf \{K(f) : f \text{ is a quasiconformal reflection in } \partial \Omega\}.$$

The other, called the boundary QED constant, is defined as

$$M_b(\Omega) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\text{mod}(A, B; \mathbb{C})}{\text{mod}(A, B; \Omega)} : \text{for all pairs } A \text{ and } B \text{ in } \partial \Omega \right\}.$$

It is well known that [27]

$$2 \leq M_b(\Omega) \leq M(\Omega) \leq 1 + R(\Omega).$$

The question of when the above equalities hold has attracted many authors’ attention (see, for example, [10, 17, 25, 28, 29]). The connection between these domain constants and the conformal invariants of a homeomorphism studied above is established through a homeomorphism induced by a Jordan domain.

For a Jordan domain $\Omega$ in the extended complex plane $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$, let $f_1$ and $f_2$ map $\Omega$ and $\Omega^* = \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ conformally onto upper half plane $\mathbb{H}$ and
lower half plane \( \mathbb{H}^* \), respectively. Extending \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) to the boundary \( \partial \Omega \) and \( \partial \Omega^* \), one can define \( h_\Omega = f_2 \circ f_1^{-1}|_\mathbb{R} \) as the sewing mapping of the domains \( \Omega \) and \( \Omega^* \). We call \( h_\Omega \) a homeomorphism induced by \( \Omega \). It is easy to see that

\[
R(\Omega) = R(\Omega^*) = K_{h\Omega}
\]

and

\[
M_b(\Omega) \geq 1 + M_{h\Omega}.
\]

Combining this with Theorem 2, we obtain the following sufficient condition for \( M_b(\Omega) = M(\Omega) \) and \( M(\Omega) = 1 + R(\Omega) \).

**Theorem 4.** Let \( h_\Omega \) be a homeomorphism of \( \mathbb{R} \) induced by a Jordan domain \( \Omega \). Then \( M_b(\Omega) = M(\Omega) = 1 + R(\Omega) \) if \( \alpha_{h_\Omega} = K_{h_\Omega} \).

However, whether the condition is necessary remains open.
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